ISSN Print: 2664-844X
ISSN Online: 2664-8458
NAAS Rating (2025): 4.97
IJAFS 2025; 7(11): 14-17
www.agriculturaljournals.com
Received: 04-09-2025
Accepted: 05-10-2025

Yuvraj Gopinath Kasal
Department of Farm Power and Machinery, Maharana Pratap Horticultural University, Karnal, Haryana, India

Shahroon Khan
Department of Fruit Sciecne, Maharana Pratap Horticultural University, Karnal, Haryana, India

Satyapal Singh
Department of Vegetable Science, Maharana Pratap Horticultural University, Karnal, Haryana, India

Corresponding Author:
Yuvraj Gopinath Kasal
Department of Farm Power and Machinery, Maharana Pratap Horticultural University, Karnal, Haryana, India

Hybrid Power Systems in Farm Machinery: A Review of Solar, Biofuel, and Hydrogen-Assisted Agricultural Mechanization

Yuvraj Gopinath Kasal, Shahroon Khan and Satyapal Singh

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i11a.935

Abstract
Hybrid power solutions that combine solar electricity, biofuels, and hydrogen (fuel-cell or dual-fuel) with conventional engines are emerging as practical pathways to decarbonize agricultural mechanization while maintaining operational flexibility. This review synthesizes recent advances in solar-assisted charging and agrivoltaic concepts, biofuel (biodiesel/ethanol/biomethane) utilisation in off-road engines, and hydrogen fuel-cell or hydrogen-assisted hybrid drivetrains for tractors and implements. We compare technical architectures (series hybrids, parallel hybrids, range-extenders, and modular solar-battery stations), energy-management strategies, system integration challenges (weight, ruggedisation, refuelling/recharging logistics), life-cycle environmental implications, and economics. Representative field demonstrations and modelling studies indicate that solar-assisted micro-tractors and hybrid tractors are already viable for low-range specialty tasks, while fuel flexibility with advanced biofuels and hydrogen shows promise for heavier, continuous work as infrastructure matures. Major barriers include energy density limits, capital cost, rural hydrogen and charging infrastructure, feedstock sustainability for biofuels, and standards/regulation gaps. We close with a research and pilot-deployment roadmap that prioritises duty-cycle measurement, modular hybrid demonstrators, on-farm energy integration, and circular-economy pathways for fuels and batteries.

Keywords: Hybrid power systems, Agricultural mechanization decarbonisation, Solar-assisted and agrivoltaic energy, Biofuels and hydrogen integration, Energy management and system challenges

Introduction
Mechanized agriculture relies heavily on internal-combustion engines (ICEs) for traction power, PTO, and implement actuation. Decarbonizing farm power is challenging because of the high energy demands, variable duty cycles, rough operating environments, and the need for long operational autonomy during peak seasons. Hybrid power systems — combining electrical storage or generation (solar PV + batteries), renewable liquid/ gaseous fuels (biodiesel, bioethanol, biomethane), and hydrogen (fuel cells or hydrogen-assisted engines) — offer multiple pathways to reduce greenhouse-gas (GHG) emissions while retaining the reliability and range of conventional powerplants. This review focuses on three complementary approaches: solar-assisted electrification and agrivoltaics, biofuel integration (including drop-in and advanced biofuels), and hydrogen-assisted powertrains (fuel-cells, hydrogen-diesel dual-fuel). We synthesize the state of technology, real-world pilots, energy-management options, lifecycle and economic considerations, and research needs. Recent reviews and field projects provide a foundation for the techno-economic and policy perspectives discussed here.

Architectural options for hybrid farm power
Farm hybrid power systems are implemented using several drivetrain and energy-supply topologies. The major classes are:

1. **Battery-Electric with Solar Assist (BEV + Solar):** Onboard battery supplies traction and PTO power; charging occurs overnight or via on-farm PV (stationary array or agrivoltaic panels). For extended operations, stationary storage can buffer PV generation. This is ideal for short-range, repetitive tasks (orchard, greenhouse, mowing).

2. **Series Hybrid (Range Extender):** ICE (or generator) runs at optimized RPM to produce electrical power that charges batteries or directly drives motors. This allows downsized ICE and higher operational efficiency in variable loads. Series hybrids can be configured to use biofuels or hydrogen as generator fuels.
3. **Parallel Hybrid:** ICE and motor can both mechanically drive wheels or implements; motors provide peak assistance allowing downsizing of ICE and enabling regenerative capture (where applicable). Parallel hybrids are suitable where continuous high power is occasionally required.
4. **Fuel-Cell Electric (FCEV) or Hydrogen-Assisted Engines:** Hydrogen fuel cells directly generate electricity for traction and implements; alternatively, hydrogen is used in dual-fuel ICEs or as a pilot fuel to reduce diesel consumption. FCEVs offer fast refuelling potential but require hydrogen supply infrastructure.
5. **Hybrid Energy Microgrids (Farm Level):** Integration of PV, stationary batteries, biofuel gensets (or biomethane CHP), and hydrogen electrolyzers/ storage can supply fleets of electrified implements and tractors on demand — enabling flexible dispatch and energy arbitrage. Agrivoltaics and co-location of PV with crops can improve land-use efficiency in some contexts.

Solar-assisted electrification and agrivoltaics

State of the art and demonstrated systems

Solar-assisted micro-tractors and charging stations have been demonstrated in experimental projects and pilot farms. Modular PV arrays sized to daily energy consumption can provide significant charging capacity for small electric tractors or implements, and agrivoltaic systems (co-locating PV and crops) can further leverage land for both energy and food production where appropriate. Micro-tractor concepts (e.g., 5-15 kWh battery packs with a solar base station) have been piloted in both developed and developing country contexts, showing feasible operation for constrained duty cycles (weeding, seeding, bed preparation) when charging is scheduled intelligently.

Design considerations

- **Sizing PV and storage:** Requires measurement of realistic duty cycles (kWh/ha, peak power). Simulation of seasonal peaks (harvest windows) guides the required PV kWp and stationary battery capacity.
- **Charge management:** Smart charging schedules aligned with PV production reduce grid draw and lower operating emissions; vehicle-to-grid (V2G) or vehicle-to-farm concepts can provide grid services or backup power.
- **Ruggedisation:** PV mounting, cabling protection, and inverter selection must suit farm environments (dust, vibration, animal exposure).

Opportunities & limits

Solar capability is most attractive where: (a) tasks have predictable daily energy demands; (b) land/resource tradeoffs for agrivoltaics are favourable; and (c) grid constraints or high electricity tariffs make on-site generation economical. Limitations include variable insolation, land availability for PV, and the mismatch between seasonal peak labor needs and PV generation profiles. Smart integration with stationary batteries or hybrid gensets is often required.

Biofuels: biodiesel, bioethanol, and biomethane in farm power

Fuel options and engine compatibility

Biodiesel (FAME, HVO/renewable diesel): Modern diesel engines can run on biodiesel blends with minor adaptations; renewable diesel (HVO) is drop-in compatible in most cases and reduces lifecycle emissions compared to fossil diesel. Studies of production and purification show maturing technology pathways that can supply off-road engines at scale where feedstocks are available sustainably.

Bioethanol & ethanol blends: More suited for spark-ignition engines or dual-fuel conversions; ethanol use in farm vehicles is limited by engine types and energy density differences (lower volumetric energy vs diesel). Flex-fuel or dual-fuel approaches may expand applicability.

Biomethane (compressed or liquefied): Can be used in gas engines and offers low-carbon potential when produced from manure, crop residues, or dedicated crops — enhancing circularity on livestock farms. Methane pathways can be attractive as generator fuels in hybrid microgrids.

Technical advantages and challenges

- **Advantages:** Existing supply chains in many regions, relative compatibility with current engine technology (especially HVO/renewable diesel), and the ability to utilize agricultural residues (biomethane) that close nutrient/ carbon loops.
- **Challenges:** Feedstock sustainability (food vs fuel concerns), variable fuel quality requiring filtration and fuel system adjustments, cold-weather performance for some biofuels, and variable GHG benefits depending on feedstock and processing. Lifecycle assessments (LCAs) must consider land-use changes and indirect emissions.

Use cases in farm hybrid systems

Biofuels are attractive as **range-extender** fuels in series hybrids (generator operation), or as the main fuel in dual-fuel engines that blend hydrogen or pilot fuel with biofuel to improve combustion and emissions. On mixed farms with livestock, biomethane produced from anaerobic digestion can be a locally available low-carbon fuel for stationary gensets or modified vehicles.

Hydrogen and fuel-cell assisted powertrains

Fuel-cell tractors and hydrogen hybrids: tech status

Hydrogen fuel cells for heavy duty and off-highway applications, including prototypes of fuel-cell tractors and hydrogen-hybrid systems, have advanced through demonstration projects and R&D consortia. Fuel-cell systems offer high energy density per mass compared to batteries and the possibility of fast refuelling, making them appealing for long-range or continuous heavy work. Recent work on hydrogen-hybrid or fuel-cell tractors shows promising efficiency and range projections but highlights infrastructure and cost barriers.

Integration patterns and energy management

Common patterns include: (a) fuel-cell + battery hybrid, where the fuel cell provides sustained power and the battery handles transients and regenerative capture; (b) hydrogen-

diesel dual-fuel engines where hydrogen reduces diesel consumption and emissions; and (c) electrolyzer + hydrogen storage on farm integrated with PV to produce and store seasonal hydrogen for peak demand. Effective energy management manages hydrogen flow, battery SOC, and generator/fuel-cell operation to optimize system efficiency and hydrogen consumption. Simulation studies and early field trials underscore the importance of duty-cycle tuned sizing to avoid excessive hydrogen consumption.

Barriers and enablers

- **Barriers:** Hydrogen production (green hydrogen cost), distribution/refuelling infrastructure in rural areas, safety and storage challenges, and system capital cost.
- **Enablers:** Development of regional hydrogen hubs, co-location of electrolyzers with high-solar sites or biogas reformers, policy support and industrial partnerships for heavy machinery applications (e.g., OEM trials).

Comparative assessment — performance, lifecycle, and economics

Field performance indicators

Key metrics for comparing hybrid options include: energy or fuel consumed per hectare (kWh/ha or L/ha), effective drawbar/ PTO power delivered, duty-cycle matching (fraction of operations within battery or hydrogen range), soil compaction impacts (mass distribution), noise and operator comfort, and system availability/downtime. Recent modelling indicates BEV and solar-assisted micro-tractors can reduce operational emissions markedly for short-range tasks; large-scale tillage remains challenging for pure BEV due to battery weight and cost unless hybridization is used.

Lifecycle and emissions (LCA) considerations

LCA outcomes depend strongly on electricity carbon intensity (for BEV and electrolysis hydrogen), feedstock sourcing for biofuels, and the embodied carbon of battery and fuel-cell manufacturing. When powered by low-carbon electricity or renewable fuels (biomethane, sustainably produced biofuels, or green hydrogen), hybrid systems can achieve significant GHG reductions versus fossil diesel. However, battery production and end-of-life recycling, as well as the potential for indirect land-use change from feedstocks, must be accounted for.

Economic tradeoffs and business models

Capital costs for hybrid systems remain higher than conventional tractors; operating cost savings (fuel, maintenance) and incentives (grants, carbon credits) influence payback. Business models that reduce upfront burden — leasing, battery/fuel-as-a-service, and Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs) sharing equipped machines — improve accessibility for smallholders. On-farm generation (PV + storage + biofuel production) can improve economics in high-tariff or remote grid contexts. Sensitivity analyses show break-even is sensitive to diesel price, PV costs, hydrogen price, and utilization rate.

Table 1: Comparative summary of hybrid power options for farm machinery

Option	Suitable tasks	Advantages	Limitations	Key technologies
BEV + Solar / Agrivoltaic	Orchard/greenhouse tasks, mowing, short transport	Zero tailpipe emissions, low noise, lower maintenance	Limited range for heavy tillage; battery weight/compaction	PV, batteries (LFP), chargers, fleet EMS
Series Hybrid (biofuel generator)	Mixed operations, medium-duty fieldwork	Efficient ICE operation, flexible fuel choice	Complexity; generator sizing tradeoffs	Generator + inverter, battery buffer, EMS
Parallel Hybrid	Heavy tasks with intermittent peaks	Peak power assistance, regenerative capture	Mechanical complexity; control integration	Electric motor + ICE clutching, BMS
Fuel-cell electric (H2)	Long-range operations, continuous shifts	Fast refuel, high energy density per mass	H2 supply/infrastructure; cost	PEM fuel cell, H2 storage, electrolyzer (if on-farm)
Biomethane CHP + Electric	Farms with livestock/residues	Circular feedstock, local fuel, grid services	Digestor capital cost; feedstock logistics	Anaerobic digester, CNG/biomethane processing

Technical and socio-economic challenges

1. **Energy density and weight:** Batteries sufficient for long fieldwork are heavy, increasing soil compaction and reducing implement payload. Hybridization or hydrogen can mitigate, but tradeoffs remain.
2. **Infrastructure:** Rural charging, hydrogen refuelling, and biofuel processing/distribution infrastructure are unevenly available; coordinated investments and policy are required.
3. **System ruggedisation and maintenance:** Off-road environments demand vibration-hardened components, sealed electronics, and accessible maintenance models. Training of technicians for high-voltage and hydrogen systems is essential.
4. **Feedstock sustainability and supply chain:** Biofuel lifecycle outcomes depend on feedstock sourcing and processing; policies must discourage land-use change and promote waste-derived fuels.
5. **Standardization and safety:** Interoperable battery modules, charging connectors, and hydrogen safety standards for farm equipment need development to avoid vendor lock-in and ensure safe field operation.

Research gaps and recommended pilots

To advance hybrid farm power, we recommend an integrated R&D and demonstration agenda:

1. **High-resolution duty-cycle measurement campaigns** across crops, implements, and regions to inform optimal sizing of batteries/generators/hydrogen tanks and to identify candidate tasks for BEV vs hybrid solutions. (Short term)
2. **Modular hybrid demonstrators**: Build series-hybrid and fuel-cell + battery prototype tractors with swappable modules for field trials under real loads, with open data sharing. (Near term)
3. **On-farm energy integration pilots**: Couple PV, stationary batteries, digesters (biomethane), electrolyzers, and hybrid tractors on working farms to quantify economics, reliability, and emissions benefits under real operational constraints. (Medium term)

4. **Soil-compaction and chassis design studies** to explore battery placement, wider tires, tracks, and lightweight materials to mitigate negative agronomic impacts. (Short-medium)
5. **Fuel supply chain analysis and sustainability frameworks** for feedstocks to ensure biofuel scaling does not induce negative land-use outcomes. (Medium term)
6. **Policy & business experimentation:** Test financing models (leasing, CHCs), targeted subsidies for pilot fleets, and local hydrogen hubs where renewable electricity is abundant. (Near-medium term)

Conclusions

Hybrid power systems combining solar, biofuels, and hydrogen represent pragmatic, complementary paths to decarbonize agricultural mechanization. Solar-assisted electrification is close to viability for many low-range tasks and benefits from falling PV and battery costs; biofuels (especially renewable diesel/HVO and biomethane) offer immediate drop-in potential that leverages existing fleets and distribution channels; hydrogen and fuel-cell hybrids show promise for high-duty, long-range farm work but require substantial infrastructure and cost reductions to scale. The optimal technological mix will be region- and task-dependent determined by duty cycle, local feedstocks, electricity carbon intensity, and access to capital. Priority actions include robust field measurement campaigns, modular demonstrators for series/parallel hybrids and fuel-cell systems, on-farm integrated energy pilots, and policy frameworks that ensure sustainable feedstock sourcing and infrastructure development. Close collaboration between OEMs, utilities, agro-enterprises, and policymakers will be essential to translate technical advances into resilient, low-carbon farm power systems.

References

1. Yang Y, *et al*. A review of green agriculture and energy management strategies for hybrid tractors. Energies. 2025.
2. Zhang M, *et al*. Energy management strategy for fuel cell hybrid tractor. Sci Rep. 2024.
3. Obalalu AM, *et al*. Improving agricultural efficiency with solar-powered electric micro-tractors. Renew Energy. 2023.
4. Osman WNAW, *et al*. Comparative review of biodiesel production and purification. Fuel Process Rev. 2024.
5. Kivekäs K, *et al*. Energy efficiency and CO_2 emissions of battery electric tractors: modelling and field study. 2025.
6. Kasal YG, Singh S, Khan S. Recent trends in farm machineries for horticulture. Int J Agric Food Sci. 2025;7(9):909-11. doi:10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i9l.843
7. Kasal YG, Singh S, Khan S. Electrification of agricultural machinery: advances in battery technology, powertrain design, and field performance for sustainable farming. Int J Agric Food Sci. 2025;7(10):252-6. doi:10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i10d.881
8. Kasal YG, Singh S, Khan S. Innovations in farm machinery for sustainable horticultural production: an Indian perspective. Int J Hortic Food Sci. 2025;7(10):86-9. doi:10.33545/26631067.2025.v7.i10b.407
9. Kasal YG, Singh S, Khan S. Emerging trends in agricultural robotics: integration of artificial intelligence, machine vision, and IoT in farm machinery. Int J Agric Nutr. 2025;7(10):70-4. doi:10.33545/26646064.2025.v7.i10b.303