



ISSN Print: 2664-844X  
ISSN Online: 2664-8458  
NAAS Rating (2025): 4.97  
IJAFS 2025; 7(12): 51-59  
[www.agriculturaljournals.com](http://www.agriculturaljournals.com)  
Received: 27-10-2025  
Accepted: 30-11-2025

**AS Suryawanshi**  
PG student, Department of  
AHDS, Post Graduate  
Institute, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

**DK Deokar**  
Associate Professor,  
Department of AHDS, Post  
Graduate Institute, MPKV,  
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

**SB Narale**  
Department of Biochemistry,  
Post Graduate Institute,  
MPKV, Rahuri, Maharashtra,  
India

**KN More**  
Department of Agronomy,  
School of Agriculture, Lovely  
Professional University,  
Punjab, India

**DK Kamble**  
Professor and Head,  
Department of AHDS, Post  
Graduate Institute, MPKV,  
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

**AT Lokhande**  
Assistant Professor  
Department of AHDS, Post  
Graduate Institute, MPKV,  
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

**MG Mote**  
Assistant Professor  
Department of AHDS, Post  
Graduate Institute, MPKV,  
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**AS Suryawanshi**  
PG student, Department of  
AHDS, Post Graduate  
Institute, MPKV, Rahuri,  
Maharashtra, India

## Effect of feeding Jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*) powder on production and composition of milk in crossbred cows

**AS Suryawanshi, DK Deokar, SB Narale, KN More, DK Kamble, AT Lokhande and MG Mote**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i12a.1025>

### Abstract

The present experiment entitled, “Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on production and composition of milk in crossbred cows” was conducted at RCDP on Cattle, Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Dist- Ahmednagar (M.S.). The cows were divided in four groups. Group T<sub>0</sub> was control group, in which the animals received experimental feed without any other feed supplements whereas the animals of experimental group 2 (T<sub>1</sub>), group 3 (T<sub>2</sub>) and group 4 (T<sub>3</sub>) were fed a similar feed along with 40 g, 80 g and 120 g/day Jivanti powder, respectively. The study was conducted for a period of 60 days. Daily milk yield was also recorded. Milk composition of each animal was determined once every fortnight. Cows fed Jivanti powder (*Leptadenia reticulata*) produce more milk ( $P \leq 0.01$ ), milk fat per cent, milk fat yield, milk protein per cent, milk protein yield, milk lactose per cent, milk lactose yield, milk solid not fat per cent, milk solid not fat yield, milk total solid per cent, milk total solids yield content ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) than control cows. Results of the present study suggests that feeding of 40 g/day Jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*) powder daily to cattle is economical and beneficial for improving the milk yield and milk composition status in crossbred cows.

**Keywords:** Jivanti powder, milk production, milk composition, crossbred cows, feed supplementation

### Introduction

The livestock sector significantly contributes to the rural economy by providing income and employment. India's milk production has steadily increased for a decade, and FAS New Delhi forecasts 2024 milk production at 212.7 million metric tons (MMT), up 3% from 2023, along with increases in non-fat dry milk (SMP) and butter production (Dairy and Products Annual, 2023). However, low productivity due to underfeeding, malnutrition, diseases, and stress hampers the dairy industry's potential (Kumari *et al.*, 2020) [28].

Herbal feed additives can improve nutrient utilization, milk secretion, and overall performance through their medicinal, antibacterial, immuno-stimulatory, and antioxidative properties (Alem, 2024) [1]. Ayurveda, a traditional herbal medicine system, emphasizes herbs like shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*), jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*), and methi (*Trigonella foenum*) as galactagogues to enhance lactation without harmful residues (Bakshi *et al.*, 2004) [4]. These substances promote milk production by stimulating alveolar tissue and increasing prolactin secretion (Gabay, 2002; Ravikumar and Bhagwat, 2008) [14, 38].

*Leptadenia reticulata* (Jivanti), a plant from the Asclepiadaceae family, is known for its nourishing and rejuvenating properties. It is distributed across Asia, Africa, and parts of India and contains compounds like  $\alpha$ -amyrin,  $\beta$ -amyrin, luteolin, and rutin (Krishna *et al.*, 1975; Jethva *et al.*, 2021) [25, 21]. Jivanti improves metabolism, digestion, and health, and is used for treating ailments like bleeding disorders, dehydration, and colitis (Kirtikar *et al.*, 1993) [22]. Its nutritional profile includes protein (35.80%), carbohydrates (23.40%), and minerals like calcium and magnesium (Hewageegana *et al.*, 2014) [19]. Supplementation with Jivanti-based products like Leptadenia tablets and Galactin-Vet bolus has shown improved milk yield in lactating cows and buffaloes (Moulvi, 1963; Sridhar and Bhagawat, 2007; Jain and Bais, 2016) [34, 52, 20].

## Materials and Methods

### Materials

The material required for research work was number of animals (20 Crossbred cows), animal shed, feeds and fodders, concentrates, supplementary feed i.e Jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*), milking machine, automatic milk analyzer.

### Selection of Experimental Animals

The study was conducted at MPKV, Rahuri, using 20 crossbred cows of similar lactation stage and milk yield, divided into four groups of five. The cows were housed in a well-ventilated byre with individual feeding arrangements, provided fresh water thrice daily, and maintained under

hygienic conditions. Prophylactic anthelmintic treatment was administered 15 days prior, and their health was monitored throughout the experimental period.

### Experimental Feeds and Feeding Schedule

The crossbred cows were fed as per ICAR (2013) standards, with soybean straw offered ad libitum and Jivanti powder mixed in the concentrate after a 15-day adaptation period. Cows were divided into four groups: T<sub>0</sub> (control) received no Jivanti, while T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>3</sub> received 40 g, 80 g, and 120 g/day of Jivanti powder, respectively, for 90 days. Deworming was done twice before starting the trial, and Jivanti powder was sourced from Shivanand Ayurvedalaya, Ahmednagar.

**Table 1:** Experimental feeds offered to crossbred cows in different groups

| Experimental group | Experimental feed   |
|--------------------|---|
| T <sub>1</sub>     | Soybean straw + Farm made concentrate + Green Maize (without Jivanti powder)            |
| T <sub>2</sub>     | Soybean straw + Farm made concentrate + Green Maize + Jivanti powder (40 g/day/animal)  |
| T <sub>3</sub>     | Soybean straw + Farm made concentrate + Green Maize + Jivanti powder (80 g/day/animal)  |
| T <sub>4</sub>     | Soybean straw + farm made concentrate + Green Maize + Jivanti powder (120 g/day/animal) |

### Collection of Feed Samples

Representative samples of feed stuffs offered and their residues left of each animal were collected for chemical analysis.

### Collection of Milk Sample

The milking of cows was done twice daily at 4:00 AM and 4:00 PM by the milkers at the milking barn. Milk yields were recorded in kg by using digital weighing balance. The milk samples of crossbred cows were collected fortnightly on 0, 15, 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 days in the morning and evening.

### Estimation of Chemical Composition of Feeds and Fodders

Ground samples of roughage, concentrate were analyzed for proximate principles as per standard procedures (AOAC, 2005) [61] and fibre fractions were determined as per the standard procedure of Van Soest *et al.* (1991) [58].

Nitrogen free extract (NFE) has to be estimated indirectly with the following formula;

$$\text{NFE (\% on DMB)} = 100 - (\% \text{ CP} + \% \text{ EE} + \% \text{ CF} + \% \text{ TA})$$

The per cent organic matter in the sample was determined by subtracting per cent ash contents of the samples from 100;

$$\text{Organic matter (per cent)} = 100 - \text{per cent total ash}$$

NDF and ADF fractions were analyzed by method of Van Soest *et al.* (1991) [58].

### Analysis of Milk Parameters

Daily milk yield of individual cattle was measured using digital weighing balance and milk composition of each animal was determined once every fortnightly. The samples of morning and evening milking were pooled proportionately and were subjected to further analysis

### Milk Yield and Related Parameters

Under this following parameters were calculated: -

- 1. Milk yield (kg):** Daily milk yield was recorded in kg at each milking and then fortnightly average milk yield was calculated.
- 2. Milk fat (per cent):** The fat per cent in the milk was measured fortnightly for individual cow.
- 3. Milk fat yield (kg):** The fortnightly milk fat yield was calculated by multiplying the fortnightly milk yield with fortnightly fat per cent and divided by 100.

$$\text{Milk fat yield (kg)} = \text{Milk yield} \times \text{Milk fat per cent} / 100$$

- 4. Milk solid not fat (percent):** The solid not fat per cent in milk was measured fortnightly for individual cow.
- 5. Milk solid not fat yield (kg):** The fortnightly milk solid not fat yield was calculated by multiplying the fortnightly milk yield with fortnightly milk solid not per cent and divided by 100.

$$\text{Milk solid not fat yield (kg)} = \text{Milk yield} \times \text{Milk solid not fat per cent} / 100$$

- 6. Milk protein (per cent):** Milk protein per cent was calculated fortnightly for individual cow.
- 7. Milk protein yield (kg):** The fortnightly milk protein yield was calculated by multiplying the fortnightly milk yield with fortnightly protein per cent and divided by 100.

$$\text{Milk protein yield (kg)} = \text{Milk yield} \times \text{Milk protein per cent} / 100$$

- 8. Fortnightly milk lactose (per cent):** Milk lactose per cent was calculated fortnightly for individual cow.
- 9. Milk lactose yield (kg):** The fortnightly yield of milk lactose was calculated by multiplying the fortnightly milk yield with fortnightly lactose per cent and divided by 100.

$$\text{Milk lactose yield (kg)} = \text{Milk yield} \times \text{Milk lactose per cent} / 100$$

- 10. Milk total solids (per cent):** The milk total solids per cent was calculated fortnightly for individual cow.
- 11. Milk total solids yield (kg):** The fortnightly yield of milk total solids was calculated by multiplying the fortnightly milk yield with fortnightly milk total solid per cent and divided by 100.

Milk total solids yield (kg) = Milk yield x Milk total solids per cent / 100 Milk composition viz. milk fat, milk protein, milk lactose, milk solid not fat and milk total solids was analysed by automatic milk analyzer FOSS Milkoscan<sup>TM</sup> FT<sub>1</sub>.

- 12. Milk Calcium content (mg/100 g):** The milk calcium content was calculated by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS).
- 13. Milk Magnesium content (mg/100 g):** The milk magnesium content was calculated by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS).

### Economics of Feeding Jivanti

To calculate the economics of milk production through Jivanti supplementation in crossbred cows, the fixed cost such as housing, depreciation value, cost of maintaining labour etc and other parameters which were common for all groups were not taken into account. But the variable cost incurred on all groups of animals was calculated as follows.

**Total Input:** DMI (kg/100 kg body weight), feed costs, and additional costs for Jivanti powder were calculated for a 90-day trial. Daily Jivanti costs per animal were Rs 800 (T<sub>0</sub>), Rs 811 (T<sub>1</sub>), Rs 822.4 (T<sub>2</sub>), and Rs 833.6 (T<sub>3</sub>). Total milk yield for 20 animals per group was recorded, with milk priced at Rs 45/kg. Net returns (gross income minus feed and supplementation costs) and net return per animal per day were calculated for all groups.

### Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (Snedecor and Cochran, 1994) [50]. Significant F-values at 5% and 1% probability levels were further tested using Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (Kramer, 1956) [23]. The appropriate statistical methods were applied to determine mean differences.

### Results and Discussion

The results obtained in the study are given under the following points.

1. Proximate analysis of feed and fodder.
2. Qualitative and quantitative analysis of milk.
3. To estimate total cost.

#### 1. Proximate Analysis of Jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*)

Proximate analysis of Jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*) powder on DM basis has been presented in table 2.

**Table 2:** Proximate analysis of Jivanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*) powder (% DM)

| Sr. No. | Attributes | Jivanti Powder |
|---------|------------|----------------|
| 1       | DM         | 92.60          |
| 2       | CP         | 7.76           |
| 3       | EE         | 0.86           |
| 4       | NFE        | 23.48          |
| 5       | TA         | 10.2           |
| 6       | CF         | 26.08          |
| 7       | OM         | 89.8           |
| 8       | NDF        | 76.6           |
| 9       | ADF        | 62.8           |

### Ingredient and Proximate Composition of Concentrate Mixture and Feeds

The concentrate mixture used in the experiment contained crushed maize, soybean/gram/tur, GNC, CSC, wheat bran,

rice bran DOC, chelated minerals, and common salt in specified proportions. The proximate composition of feeds and fodders (on DM basis) offered to the lactating crossbred cows is detailed in the tables.3

**Table 3:** Proximate composition of the feeds offered to lactating crossbred cows (% DM)

| Sr. No | Attributes | Green Maize | Soybean straw | Concentrate |
|--------|------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| 1      | DM         | 22.86       | 93.11         | 91.01       |
| 2      | CP         | 17.00       | 5.10          | 20.53       |
| 3      | EE         | 8.94        | 2.01          | 10.58       |
| 4      | NFE        | 54.11       | 49.89         | 38.91       |
| 5      | TA         | 16.05       | 08.00         | 8.99        |
| 6      | OM         | 90.95       | 89.88         | 95.82       |
| 7      | CF         | 3.9         | 35.00         | 20.99       |
| 8      | NDF        | 65.71       | 24.12         | 26.35       |
| 9      | ADF        | 44.6        | 16.21         | 14.65       |

The roughages used in this experiment were green maize (*Zea mays* L.) and Soybean straw (*Glycin max* L.). The average crude protein content in green maize, soybean straw and concentrate mixture was 11.76, 5.10 and 16.53 per cent,

respectively. The average dry matter in green maize, soybean straw and concentrate mixture was 22.86, 93.11 and 91.01 per cent, respectively.

**Table 4:** Ingredient composition of concentrate mixture

| Groups                           |                |                |                |                |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Ingredients                      | T <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>1</sub> | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> |
| Crushed Maize                    | 27             | 27             | 27             | 27             |
| Soybean/ Gram/ Tur               | 23             | 23             | 23             | 23             |
| GNC                              | 9              | 9              | 9              | 9              |
| CSC                              | 14             | 14             | 14             | 14             |
| Wheat Bran                       | 15             | 15             | 15             | 15             |
| Rice Bran (DOC)                  | 9              | 9              | 9              | 9              |
| Chelated Minerals                | 2              | 2              | 2              | 2              |
| Common salt                      | 1              | 1              | 1              | 1              |
| Total                            | 100            | 100            | 100            | 100            |
| Jivanti powder as Feed Additives | ----           | 40 g           | 80 g           | 120 g          |

### Milk Yield and its Composition Parameters Daily Milk yield

Statistical analysis revealed a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) effect of treatment on daily milk yield. Group T<sub>3</sub> had the highest milk yield (5.38 kg), particularly on Days 0, 45, and 60, indicating its effectiveness for milk production. Group T<sub>0</sub> (control) remained stable, showing a significant increase at

Day 90. Group T<sub>1</sub> showed an early increase but declined later, while T<sub>2</sub> remained steady with a peak at Day 75. Overall, T<sub>3</sub> had the highest mean yield (5.38 kg), followed by T<sub>1</sub> (4.58 kg), T<sub>2</sub> (4.30 kg), and T<sub>0</sub> (4.12 kg). These results align with previous studies (Ramesh *et al.*, 2000; Tanwar *et al.*, 2008; etc.)<sup>[3, 56]</sup>.

**Table 5:** Fortnightly milk yield (kg) in lactating crossbred cows

| Treatments     | Days              |                    |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0                 | 15                 | 30                | 45                | 60                | 75                | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 4.12 <sup>b</sup> | 3.75 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.77 <sup>b</sup> | 3.42 <sup>b</sup> | 3.19 <sup>b</sup> | 2.96 <sup>b</sup> | 7.63 <sup>a</sup> | 4.12 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 4.00 <sup>b</sup> | 6.47 <sup>a</sup>  | 6.53 <sup>a</sup> | 4.18 <sup>b</sup> | 3.95 <sup>b</sup> | 3.56 <sup>b</sup> | 3.37 <sup>b</sup> | 4.58 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 4.04 <sup>b</sup> | 3.97 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.95 <sup>b</sup> | 3.58 <sup>b</sup> | 3.77 <sup>b</sup> | 7.41 <sup>a</sup> | 3.39 <sup>b</sup> | 4.30 a       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 6.27 <sup>a</sup> | 4.95 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.47 <sup>b</sup> | 7.02 <sup>a</sup> | 7.12 <sup>a</sup> | 3.97 <sup>b</sup> | 3.87 <sup>b</sup> | 5.38 a       |
| SE(m) ±        | 0.56              | 0.66               | 0.62              | 0.71              | 0.45              | 0.74              | 0.64              | 0.38         |
| SE(d) ±        | 0.80              | 0.94               | 0.87              | 1.01              | 0.64              | 1.05              | 0.89              | 0.54         |
| CD @ 5%        | 1.73              | 2.04               | 1.90              | 2.20              | 1.39              | 2.27              | 1.94              | 1.13         |
| CV             | 27.30             | 30.96              | 29.42             | 35.23             | 22.53             | 36.75             | 30.86             |              |

Means with different superscripts differ significantly within the column. NS: Non-significant

### Milk Fat (%)

Statistical analysis revealed a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) effect of treatment on milk fat, with T<sub>1</sub> showing the highest milk fat production (4.61%) across most days. T<sub>0</sub> had a notable spike on Day 30 but overall performed lower than T<sub>1</sub>. Treatments

T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> showed steady increases but were less effective than T<sub>1</sub>. The overall mean for T<sub>1</sub> was significantly higher than T<sub>0</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, and T<sub>3</sub>. These results align with previous studies by Soni *et al.* (2016)<sup>[51]</sup> and Saini (2018)<sup>[40]</sup>, which also found increased milk fat in Jivanti-supplemented groups.

**Table 6:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk fat (Per cent)

| Treatments     | Days |                   |                    |                   |                   |                   |                    | Overall mean |
|----------------|------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
|                | 0    | 15                | 30                 | 45                | 60                | 75                | 90                 |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 2.78 | 3.32 <sup>b</sup> | 5.14 <sup>a</sup>  | 3.76 <sup>b</sup> | 3.46 <sup>b</sup> | 3.58 <sup>b</sup> | 3.38 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.63 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 3.88 | 4.38 <sup>a</sup> | 4.44 <sup>ab</sup> | 5.00 <sup>a</sup> | 4.64 <sup>a</sup> | 4.94 <sup>a</sup> | 5.00 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.61 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 3.12 | 3.06 <sup>b</sup> | 3.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 3.68 <sup>b</sup> | 3.72 <sup>b</sup> | 3.94 <sup>b</sup> | 4.18 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.60 b       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 3.5  | 3.02 <sup>b</sup> | 3.14 <sup>b</sup>  | 3.58 <sup>b</sup> | 3.62 <sup>b</sup> | 4.02 <sup>b</sup> | 4.08 <sup>ab</sup> | 3.56 b       |
| CD @ 5%        | NS   | 0.6               | 1.4                | 0.75              | 0.72              | 0.94              | 0.98               | 0.51         |
| CV             | 51.4 | 12.65             | 25.07              | 13.74             | 13.7              | 16.64             | 17.11              |              |

The mean milk fat yield was highest for T<sub>1</sub> (0.20 kg), followed by T<sub>3</sub> (0.18 kg), T<sub>2</sub> (0.15 kg), and T<sub>0</sub> (0.14 kg). T<sub>1</sub> consistently showed the highest values on Days 15 (0.28) and 30 (0.29) and was significantly superior across most days. T<sub>2</sub> peaked on Day 75 (0.29), while T<sub>3</sub> showed

moderate performance. T<sub>0</sub> displayed stable but lower values, with a peak on Day 90 (0.26). Results suggest T<sub>1</sub> as the most effective treatment for increasing milk fat yield, aligning with findings by Saini *et al.* (2018)<sup>[40]</sup> and Kumar and Kumar (2018)<sup>[26]</sup>.

**Table 7:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk fat yield (kg)

| Treatments     | Days |                   |                   |       |                    |                   |       | Overall mean |
|----------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------|
|                | 0    | 15                | 30                | 45    | 60                 | 75                | 90    |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 0.11 | 0.13 <sup>b</sup> | 0.19 <sup>b</sup> | 0.13  | 0.11 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.11 <sup>b</sup> | 0.26  | 0.14 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.16 | 0.28 <sup>a</sup> | 0.29 <sup>a</sup> | 0.21  | 0.19 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.17 <sup>b</sup> | 0.16  | 0.20 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.12 | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 0.13  | 0.14 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.29 <sup>a</sup> | 0.14  | 0.15 a       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.22 | 0.15 <sup>b</sup> | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 0.25  | 0.25 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 0.14  | 0.18 a       |
| CD @ 5%        | N.S  | 0.08              | 0.07              | N.S   | 0.06               | 0.07              | N.S   | 0.05         |
| CV             | 50.0 | 32.31             | 27.70             | 39.76 | 25.65              | 30.04             | 40.31 |              |

Means with different superscripts differ significantly within the column. NS: Non-significant



**Solid Not Fat (SNF) %:** The statistical analysis revealed a non-significant effect of treatment and period on SNF percentage. Mean SNF values were 7.24% for T<sub>0</sub>, 7.90% for T<sub>1</sub>, 7.70% for T<sub>2</sub>, and 7.84% for T<sub>3</sub>. T<sub>1</sub> showed the highest

and most consistent improvement, with an overall mean of 7.90%. T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> performed well, peaking on Days 30 and 90, while T<sub>0</sub> had the lowest mean SNF at 7.24%, lagging behind the other treatments.

**Table 8:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk solid not fat (%)

| Treatments     | Days  |                    |                   |                   |                    |                   |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15                 | 30                | 45                | 60                 | 75                | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 6.42  | 7.26 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.54 <sup>b</sup> | 7.55 <sup>b</sup> | 7.28 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.33 <sup>b</sup> | 7.32 <sup>b</sup> | 7.24 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 6.7   | 7.94 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.00 <sup>a</sup> | 7.98 <sup>a</sup> | 8.19 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.32 <sup>a</sup> | 8.20 <sup>a</sup> | 7.90 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 6.04  | 8.06 <sup>a</sup>  | 8.02 <sup>a</sup> | 7.82 <sup>b</sup> | 8.04 <sup>a</sup>  | 7.88 <sup>a</sup> | 8.10 <sup>a</sup> | 7.70 a       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 6.76  | 7.78 <sup>ab</sup> | 8.26 <sup>a</sup> | 8.11 <sup>a</sup> | 7.76 <sup>ab</sup> | 8.04 <sup>a</sup> | 8.18 <sup>a</sup> | 7.84 a       |
| CD @ 5%        | NS    | 0.55               | 0.47              | 0.39              | 0.66               | 0.68              | 0.67              | 0.25         |
| CV             | 15.19 | 5.22               | 4.33              | 3.67              | 6.15               | 6.28              | 6.14              |              |

### Milk Solid Not Fat Yield (kg)

Statistical analysis revealed a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) effect of treatment on SNF yield. The highest mean yield was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (0.42 kg), followed by T<sub>1</sub> (0.36 kg), T<sub>2</sub> (0.34 kg), and T<sub>0</sub> (0.30 kg). T<sub>3</sub> showed peaks on Days 0 (0.48 kg), 45 (0.57 kg), and 60 (0.55 kg), while T<sub>1</sub> recorded significant

increases on Days 15 (0.51 kg) and 30 (0.52 kg). T<sub>2</sub> peaked on Day 75 (0.58 kg), and T<sub>0</sub> had the lowest overall mean with a peak on Day 90 (0.56 kg). The results suggest Jivanti supplementation significantly enhances milk SNF yield, aligning with findings by Sharma (2010) <sup>[44]</sup> and Soni *et al.* (2016) <sup>[51]</sup>.

**Table 9:** Effect of feeding Jivanti root powder on milk solid not fat yield (kg)

| Treatments     | Days              |                    |                    |                   |                   |                   |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0                 | 15                 | 30                 | 45                | 60                | 75                | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 0.31 <sup>b</sup> | 0.27 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.28 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.26 <sup>b</sup> | 0.23 <sup>b</sup> | 0.22 <sup>b</sup> | 0.56 <sup>a</sup> | 0.30 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.31 <sup>b</sup> | 0.51 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.52 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.33 <sup>b</sup> | 0.33 <sup>b</sup> | 0.30 <sup>b</sup> | 0.28 <sup>b</sup> | 0.36 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.32 <sup>b</sup> | 0.32 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.32 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.28 <sup>b</sup> | 0.30 <sup>b</sup> | 0.58 <sup>a</sup> | 0.27 <sup>b</sup> | 0.34 a       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.48 <sup>a</sup> | 0.38 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.37 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.57 <sup>a</sup> | 0.55 <sup>a</sup> | 0.32 <sup>b</sup> | 0.32 <sup>b</sup> | 0.42 a       |
| CD @ 5%        | 0.13              | 0.15               | 0.16               | 0.18              | 0.10              | 0.17              | 0.17              | 0.09         |
| CV             | 27.42             | 28.81              | 30.62              | 32.20             | 20.48             | 34.65             | 35.13             |              |

Means with different superscripts differ significantly within the column. NS: Non-significant

### Milk Protein (%)

Statistical analysis revealed no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) effect of Jivanti powder on milk protein percentage. The mean protein values were 2.80% for T<sub>0</sub>, 2.84% for T<sub>1</sub>, 3.04% for T<sub>2</sub>, and 2.99% for T<sub>3</sub>. T<sub>2</sub> showed the highest overall mean and the most notable increases, particularly on Day 90

(3.46%). Treatments T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> also showed increases, while T<sub>0</sub> had the lowest mean with minimal variation. Although trends suggest Jivanti may improve protein assimilation, the differences were not statistically significant, aligning with findings by Kumar *et al.* (2014) <sup>[27]</sup> but contrasting with Soni *et al.* (2016) <sup>[51]</sup> and Saini (2018) <sup>[51, 40]</sup>.

**Table 10:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk protein (Per cent)

| Treatments     | Days  |       |      |      |      |       |       | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------|-------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15    | 30   | 45   | 60   | 75    | 90    |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 2.50  | 2.92  | 2.7  | 2.94 | 2.82 | 2.84  | 2.94  | 2.80         |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 2.22  | 2.4   | 2.86 | 2.92 | 3.1  | 3.26  | 3.18  | 2.84         |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 2.58  | 2.96  | 2.98 | 2.88 | 3.04 | 3.4   | 3.46  | 3.04         |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 2.42  | 2.9   | 2.9  | 2.96 | 3.12 | 3.46  | 3.18  | 2.99         |
| CD @ 5%        | NS    | NS    | NS   | NS   | NS   | NS    | NS    | NS           |
| CV             | 17.12 | 15.99 | 8.48 | 5.45 | 9.56 | 10.81 | 11.72 |              |

### Milk Protein Yield (kg)

Statistical analysis revealed a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) effect of treatment on milk protein yield. The highest mean yield was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (0.15 kg), followed by T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> (0.13 kg each), while T<sub>0</sub> had the lowest yield (0.11 kg). T<sub>3</sub> consistently performed well, peaking at 0.22 kg on Day 60.

T<sub>2</sub> peaked at 0.25 kg on Day 75, and T<sub>1</sub> showed early increases, notably 0.19 kg on Day 30. T<sub>0</sub> showed minimal improvement, reflecting control conditions. The data suggest Jivanti powder positively influences milk protein yield, aligning with findings by Saini (2018) <sup>[40]</sup>.

**Table 11:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk protein yield (kg)

| Treatments     | Days  |       |                    |                   |                   |                   |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|-------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15    | 30                 | 45                | 60                | 75                | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 0.11  | 0.11  | 0.10 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.10 <sup>b</sup> | 0.09 <sup>b</sup> | 0.08 <sup>b</sup> | 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 0.11 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.09  | 0.16  | 0.19 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.11 <sup>b</sup> | 0.13 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.11  | 0.12  | 0.12 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.11 <sup>b</sup> | 0.25 <sup>a</sup> | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.13 a       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.15  | 0.14  | 0.13 <sup>ab</sup> | 0.21 <sup>a</sup> | 0.22 <sup>a</sup> | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.15 a       |
| CD @ 5%        | N.S.  | N.S.  | 0.06               | 0.07              | 0.04              | 0.08              | 0.06              | 0.03         |
| CV             | 34.26 | 35.88 | 31.49              | 36.22             | 22.42             | 41.94             | 32.63             |              |

Means with different superscripts differ significantly within the column. NS: Non-significant

**Milk Lactose (%)**

The highest mean lactose was observed in T<sub>2</sub> (4.61%), followed by T<sub>3</sub> (4.43%), T<sub>1</sub> (4.33%), and T<sub>0</sub> (4.24%). T<sub>2</sub> consistently showed the highest values, peaking at 4.80% on Day

30. T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> also demonstrated improvements, especially during the initial stages. While trends suggest Jivanti supplementation may enhance lactose content, the effects were not statistically significant, aligning with Kumar *et al.* (2014) [27] but contrasting with Sharma (2010) [44] and Dangi *et al.* (2011) [12].

**Table 12:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk lactose (Per cent)

| Treatments     | Days  |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15                 | 30                 | 45                 | 60                 | 75                 | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 3.98  | 4.48 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.20 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.22 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.36 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.16 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.32 <sup>b</sup> | 4.24         |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 3.32  | 4.56 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.56 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.62 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.48 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.48 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.32 <sup>b</sup> | 4.33         |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 4.10  | 4.76 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.80 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.42 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.73 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.70 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.76 <sup>a</sup> | 4.61         |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 3.84  | 4.28 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.42 <sup>ab</sup> | 4.54 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.70 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.74 <sup>a</sup>  | 4.50 <sup>a</sup> | 4.43         |
| CD @ 5%        | NS    | 0.29               | 0.39               | 0.27               | 0.28               | 0.40               | 0.31              | NS           |
| CV             | 19.19 | 4.77               | 6.39               | 4.42               | 4.55               | 6.43               | 5.17              |              |

**Milk Lactose Yield (kg)**

The average milk lactose yield was highest in T<sub>3</sub> (0.23 kg), followed by T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> (0.20 kg each), and lowest in T<sub>0</sub> (0.17 kg). T<sub>3</sub> showed consistent performance, while T<sub>2</sub> peaked at 0.35 kg on Day 75, and T<sub>1</sub> at 0.30 kg on Day 30. T<sub>0</sub> remained steady with minimal improvement. Although

trends indicate positive effects of Jivanti powder on lactose yield, the differences were not statistically significant. These findings align with Kumar *et al.* (2014) [27] but contradict Sharma (2010) [44] and Soni (2016) [51], who reported significant effects of herbal supplementation on milk lactose production.

**Table 13:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk lactose yield (kg)

| Treatments     | Days  |       |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15    | 30                | 45                | 60                | 75                | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 0.16  | 0.17  | 0.16 <sup>b</sup> | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 0.14 <sup>b</sup> | 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.33 <sup>a</sup> | 0.17         |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.13  | 0.30  | 0.30 <sup>a</sup> | 0.19 <sup>b</sup> | 0.18 <sup>b</sup> | 0.16 <sup>b</sup> | 0.15 <sup>b</sup> | 0.20         |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.17  | 0.19  | 0.19 <sup>b</sup> | 0.16 <sup>b</sup> | 0.18 <sup>b</sup> | 0.35 <sup>a</sup> | 0.16 <sup>b</sup> | 0.20         |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.24  | 0.21  | 0.20 <sup>b</sup> | 0.32 <sup>a</sup> | 0.34 <sup>a</sup> | 0.19 <sup>b</sup> | 0.17 <sup>b</sup> | 0.23         |
| CD @ 5%        | N.S.  | N.S.  | 0.10              | 0.10              | 0.07              | 0.11              | 0.09              | NS           |
| CV             | 34.86 | 32.55 | 31.44             | 34.61             | 23.03             | 38.84             | 31.03             |              |

**Milk Total Solids (%)**

The overall mean of milk total solids (%) indicated that, the treatment T<sub>1</sub> was significantly superior (12.53%) over all the treatment. It was followed by treatment T<sub>2</sub> (11.61 %), T<sub>3</sub> (11.37%) and T<sub>0</sub> (11.01%) which were at par with each other. Overall, the table illustrates that feeding Jivanti

powder (T<sub>1</sub>) consistently enhances the percentage of milk total solids compared to the control and other treatment groups. This could imply nutritional benefits from Jivanti powder that may improve the quality of milk produced by the cows.

**Table 14:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk total solid (Per cent)

| Treatments     | Days  |                    |                     |                    |                     |                     |                     | Overall mean       |
|----------------|-------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                | 0     | 15                 | 30                  | 40                 | 60                  | 75                  | 90                  |                    |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 9.82  | 10.84 <sup>b</sup> | 10.56 <sup>b</sup>  | 11.84 <sup>b</sup> | 11.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 11.26 <sup>b</sup>  | 11.28 <sup>b</sup>  | 11.01 <sup>b</sup> |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 11.38 | 12.26 <sup>a</sup> | 12.06 <sup>a</sup>  | 13.28 <sup>a</sup> | 12.94 <sup>a</sup>  | 13.22 <sup>a</sup>  | 12.62 <sup>a</sup>  | 12.53 <sup>a</sup> |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 10.96 | 11.28 <sup>b</sup> | 11.00 <sup>ab</sup> | 11.58 <sup>b</sup> | 12.32 <sup>ab</sup> | 12.08 <sup>ab</sup> | 12.08 <sup>ab</sup> | 11.61 <sup>b</sup> |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 10.72 | 10.78 <sup>b</sup> | 10.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 11.32 <sup>b</sup> | 11.5 <sup>b</sup>   | 12.08 <sup>ab</sup> | 12.70 <sup>a</sup>  | 11.37 <sup>b</sup> |
| CD @ 5%        | NS    | 0.78               | 1.18                | 0.95               | 1.14                | 1.31                | 1.00                | 0.46               |
| CV             | 14.82 | 5.03               | 7.76                | 5.79               | 6.91                | 7.84                | 5.99                |                    |

**Milk Total Solids Yield (kg)**

The average milk total solids yield was highest in T<sub>3</sub> (0.60 kg), followed by T<sub>1</sub> (0.57 kg), T<sub>2</sub> (0.49 kg), and lowest in T<sub>0</sub> (0.45 kg). T<sub>1</sub> showed early improvements, peaking at 0.80 kg on Day 15, while T<sub>2</sub> peaked at 0.86 kg on Day 75, indicating a delayed effect. T<sub>3</sub> maintained consistent

performance with peaks on Days 45 and 60. T<sub>0</sub> remained the lowest throughout. Feeding Jivanti powder significantly improved total solids yield, particularly in T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, enhancing milk quality. These findings align with Soni (2016) [51], who reported significant effects of herbal supplementation on total solids production in cows.

**Table 15:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk total solid yield (kg)

| Treatments     | Days  |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15                | 30                | 45                | 60                | 75                | 90                |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 0.40  | 0.41 <sup>b</sup> | 0.40 <sup>b</sup> | 0.41 <sup>b</sup> | 0.37 <sup>b</sup> | 0.34 <sup>b</sup> | 0.85 <sup>a</sup> | 0.45 b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 0.46  | 0.80 <sup>a</sup> | 0.79 <sup>a</sup> | 0.56 <sup>a</sup> | 0.51 <sup>b</sup> | 0.47 <sup>b</sup> | 0.43 <sup>b</sup> | 0.57 a       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 0.44  | 0.45 <sup>b</sup> | 0.44 <sup>b</sup> | 0.41 <sup>b</sup> | 0.46 <sup>b</sup> | 0.86 <sup>a</sup> | 0.41 <sup>b</sup> | 0.49 a       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 0.68  | 0.54 <sup>b</sup> | 0.47 <sup>b</sup> | 0.81 <sup>a</sup> | 0.81 <sup>a</sup> | 0.47 <sup>b</sup> | 0.47 <sup>b</sup> | 0.60 a       |
| CD @ 5%        | N.S.  | 0.233             | 0.18              | 0.27              | 0.16              | 0.25              | 0.23              | 0.13         |
| CV             | 32.34 | 30.94             | 25.49             | 37.03             | 22.11             | 34.40             | 30.97             |              |

**Milk Calcium Content (mg/100g)**

The average milk calcium content was highest in T<sub>3</sub> (130.95 mg/100 g), followed by T<sub>2</sub> (119.64 mg/100 g), T<sub>1</sub> (117.87 mg/100 g), and T<sub>0</sub> (117.71 mg/100 g). T<sub>3</sub> peaked at 181.86 mg/100 g on Day 60, while T<sub>2</sub> showed a notable increase to 136.74 mg/100 g on Day 60. T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> remained stable with

no significant peaks. Although the effects were not statistically significant, trends suggest that feeding Jivanti powder, particularly in T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, may enhance milk calcium content and nutritional value. Further studies are needed to confirm these findings.

**Table 16:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk calcium content (mg/100 g)

| Treatments     | Days   |        |        |        |        |        |        | Overall mean |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
|                | 0      | 15     | 30     | 45     | 60     | 75     | 90     |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 116.52 | 113.70 | 115.34 | 119.16 | 119.04 | 120.86 | 119.30 | 117.71a      |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 116.38 | 117.24 | 117.8  | 118.18 | 118.34 | 118.48 | 118.68 | 117.87a      |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 112.82 | 114.5  | 114.96 | 118.9  | 136.74 | 120.82 | 118.76 | 119.64a      |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 125.56 | 122.6  | 122.7  | 123.1  | 181.86 | 123.5  | 117.34 | 130.95a      |
| CD @ 5%        | NS     | NS     | NS     | NS     | NS     | NS     | NS     | 17.04        |
| CV             | 15.10  | 5.16   | 6.24   | 5.91   | 27.42  | 7.84   | 6.79   |              |

Means with different superscripts differ significantly within the column. NS: Non-significant

**Milk Magnesium Content (mg/100 g)**

Milk magnesium content was highest in T<sub>3</sub> (25.76 mg/100 g), followed by T<sub>2</sub> (13.48 mg/100 g), T<sub>1</sub> (11.61 mg/100 g), and T<sub>0</sub> (10.40 mg/100 g). T<sub>3</sub> peaked at 23.4 mg/100 g on Day 90, showing a significant positive effect of Jivanti

powder. T<sub>2</sub> maintained consistently high levels, while T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>0</sub> had lower and less consistent values. Feeding Jivanti powder significantly enhanced milk magnesium content, particularly in T<sub>3</sub>, suggesting its potential to improve milk's nutritional value.

**Table 17:** Effect of feeding Jivanti powder on milk magnesium content (mg/100 gm)

| Treatments     | Days  |       |       |       |       |       |       | Overall mean |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
|                | 0     | 15    | 30    | 45    | 60    | 75    | 90    |              |
| T <sub>0</sub> | 8.72  | 11.07 | 8.74  | 9.2   | 9.84  | 13.84 | 11.4  | 10.40b       |
| T <sub>1</sub> | 12.36 | 10.09 | 11.76 | 12.94 | 10.22 | 10.6  | 13.36 | 11.61b       |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 13.00 | 12.9  | 13.14 | 12.76 | 14.48 | 14.02 | 14.1  | 13.48b       |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 19.22 | 19.46 | 19.50 | 19.98 | 20.00 | 20.58 | 23.4  | 25.76 a      |
| CD @ 5%        | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | NS    | 9.05         |
| CV             | 57.19 | 39.23 | 198.4 | 58.53 | 57.53 | 52.53 | 41.16 |              |

Means with different superscripts differ significantly within the column. NS: Non-significant

**Economics of Jivanti**

The feeding cost per cow was lowest in T<sub>0</sub> (Rs. 105.20) and highest in T<sub>3</sub> (Rs. 154.55). Total income was highest in T<sub>3</sub> (Rs. 31,306.50) and T<sub>2</sub> (Rs. 30,845.70), followed by T<sub>1</sub> (Rs.

29,070) and T<sub>0</sub> (Rs. 20,844). The B:C ratio was 2.20 for T<sub>0</sub>, 2.60 for T<sub>1</sub>, 2.57 for T<sub>2</sub>, and 2.25 for T<sub>3</sub>.

**Cost of economics of Jivanti feed to different treatments**

| Sr. No. | Particulars  | Groups         |                |                |                |
|---------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|         |  | T <sub>0</sub> | T <sub>1</sub> | T <sub>2</sub> | T <sub>3</sub> |
| 1       | Cost of concentrate mixture (Rs)/Kg                | 23             | 23             | 23             | 23             |
| 2       | Cost of green roughage (Rs)/Kg                     | 2.0            | 2.0            | 2.0            | 2.0            |
| 3       | Cost of dry roughage (Rs)/Kg                       | 2.0            | 2.0            | 2.0            | 2.0            |
| 4       | Labour cost per animal for 90 days (Rs)            | 3336           | 3336           | 3336           | 3336           |
| 5       | Average concentrate mixture consumed (kg) / animal | 196.77         | 200.15         | 195.63         | 192.68         |
| 6       | Average green fodder consumed (kg) / animal        | 530.39         | 820.75         | 800.00         | 789.81         |
| 7       | Average dry fodder consumed (kg) / animal          | 272.80         | 280.62         | 270.96         | 265.15         |
| 8       | Cost of concentrate consumed (Rs) / animal         | 4525.71        | 4603.45        | 4499.49        | 4431.64        |
| 9       | Cost of green roughage consumed/(Rs) / animal      | 1060.58        | 1641.50        | 1600           | 1579.62        |
| 10      | Cost of dry roughage consumed/ (Rs) / animal       | 545.6          | 561.24         | 541.92         | 530.3          |
| 11      | Cost of Jivanti(Rs)/ animal                        | 00             | 1008           | 2016           | 4032           |
| 12      | Total cost (Rs)/ animal                            | 9467.89        | 11150.19       | 11993.41       | 13909.56       |
| 13      | Total cost/day/animal (Rs)                         | 105.20         | 123.89         | 133.26         | 154.55         |
| 14      | Total Milk yield kg/ animal                        | 463.2          | 646            | 685.46         | 695.70         |
| 15      | Total Milk income/ animal (Rs)                     | 20844          | 29070          | 30845.70       | 31306.5        |
| 16      | Net Income/ animal (Rs)                            | 11376.11       | 17919.8        | 18852.29       | 17396.94       |
| 17      | B:C ratio  | 2.20           | 2.60           | 2.57           | 2.25           |

**Conclusion:** Feeding Jivanti powder had significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) effect on milk production and highest daily milk yield was observed in group T<sub>3</sub> (5.38 kg) supplemented with Jivanti powder @120 g/day/ animal followed by the T<sub>1</sub> (4.58 kg) supplemented with Jivanti powder @ 40 g/day/ animal and T<sub>2</sub> (4.38 kg) supplemented with Jivanti powder

@ 80 g/day/ animal groups. Effect of feeding Jivanti powder was found to be significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) on milk composition and T<sub>1</sub> supplemented with Jivanti powder @ 40 g/day/ animal was most effective as compared to other. As compared to other treatments, T<sub>1</sub> Jivanti supplementation @

40 g/day/ animal was economically efficient having B:C ratio 2.60.

## References

1. Alem WT. Effect of herbal extracts in animal nutrition as feed additives. 2024. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24973>
2. Anjaria JV, Gupta I. Studies on lactogenic property of *Leptadenia reticulata* (Jivanti) and Leptaden tablets in goats, sheep, cows and buffaloes. Indian Veterinary Journal. 1967;44:967-974.
3. Baig MI, Bhagwat VG. Study the efficacy of Galactin Vet bolus on milk yield in dairy cows. Veterinary World. 2009;2(4):140-142.
4. Bakshi MPS, Rani N, Wadhawa M, Kushal S. Impact of herbal feed additive on degradability of feedstuffs *in vitro*. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2004;21:249-253.
5. Berhane M. Studies on feeding some indigenous galactopoietic feed supplement on performance of crossbred cows. M.Sc. thesis. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur (MP); 2000.
6. Berhane M, Singh VP. Effect of feeding indigenous galactopoietic feed supplements on milk production in buffaloes. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2000;72(7):609-611.
7. Bhatt N, Singh M, Ali A. Effect of feeding herbal preparations on milk yield and rumen parameters in lactating crossbred cows. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 2009;11(6):721-726.
8. Chakravarthi MK, Ravikanth K, Borthakur A, Maini S, Yadav V. Potential of herbal galactagogue in augmenting milk production. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2017;6(2):817-821.
9. Choudhary BK, Kar A. Mineral contents of *Asparagus racemosus*. Indian Drugs. 1992;29:623.
10. Das KT, Debnath CB, Sarkar KB, De A, Maini S. Effect of herbal feed supplements on milk yield and composition in crossbred cows. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2017;87(6):747-751.
11. Dadkhah MA, Yeganehzad M. Effects of extracts of plants (*Medicago sativa*, *Trigonella foenum-graecum*, *Carum carvi*) on milk production in dairy cows. Advances in Environmental Biology. 2011;5(10):3129-3134.
12. Dangi RL. Effect of herbal feed supplementation *Shatavari* (*Asparagus racemosus* L.) on productive performance of Murrah buffaloes. Doctoral dissertation. National Dairy Research Institute, Karnal; 2011.
13. Dibya KK. *Shatavari* (*Asparagus racemosus* Willd.) used as a feed supplement for enhancing production and quality of indigenous crossbred cows' milk. Doctoral dissertation. Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur (CG); 2010.
14. Gabay MP. Galactagogues: Medications that induce lactation. Journal of Human Lactation. 2002;18(3):274-279.
15. Gautam K, Bishist R, Dutt B, Namgial J. Effect of polyherbal supplementation on milk performance of crossbred cows. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2019;9(7):204-210.
16. Ghosh S, Chakraborty S, Mitra J, Ghosh KK. Study of lactate, a herbal galactagogue. In: Proceedings of the 29th All India Obstetric and Gynaecological Congress; 1987; Mumbai, India.
17. Godara P, Rao DV, Dulara B, Barwar N. Multidimensional approach of endangered Ayurvedic plant *Leptadenia reticulata*: A review. International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering Research. 2015;4:531-543.
18. Grubben GJH. Plant Resources of Tropical Africa: Vegetables. Wageningen: PROTA Foundation; 2004. p. 367-368.
19. Hewageegana SP, Arawawala M, Dhammaratana I, Ariyawansa H, Tisser A. Proximate analysis and standardization of leaves of *Leptadenia reticulata* (Retz.) Wight & Arn (Jeevanti). World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 2014;3(10):1603-1612.
20. Jain M, Bais B. Effect of Jiwanti (*Leptadenia reticulata*) supplementation on fat percentage and fat yield of milk in Kankrej cows in arid zone of Rajasthan. Research and Reviews: Journal of Veterinary Sciences. 2016;2(1):1-3.
21. Jethva K, Bhatt D, Zaveri M. Phytopharmacognostical study of root of *Leptadenia reticulata*. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2021;7(8):3265-3277.
22. Kirtikar KR, Basu BD. Indian Medicinal Plants. Vol 1. Dehradun: Orient Enterprises; 1993. p. 496-498.
23. Kramer CY. Extension of multiple range tests to group means with unequal number of replications. Biometrics. 1956;12(3):307-310.
24. Krishana L, Swarup D, Patra RC. Overview of prospects of ethno-veterinary medicine in India. Indian Journal of Animal Sciences. 2005;75(12):1481-1491.
25. Krishna PV, Rao EV, Rao DV. Crystalline principles from the leaves and twigs of *Leptadenia reticulata*. Planta Medica. 1975;27:395-400.
26. Kumar S, Kumar B. Comparative assessment of different herbal galactagogue preparations on milk production and economics of lactating crossbred cows. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(5):2508-2512.
27. Kumar S, Mehla RK, Singh M. Effect of *Shatavari* (*Asparagus racemosus*) on milk production and immune modulation in Karan Fries crossbred cows. Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge. 2014;13(2):404-408.
28. Kumari B, Chandel BS, Lal P. Economic analysis of milk production in eastern region of India. Indian Journal of Dairy Science. 2020;73(5):—. (⚠️ No page numbers available in your source.)
29. Mehrafarin A, Qaderi A, Rezazadeh S, Naghdi Badi H, Noormohammadi G, Zand E. Bioengineering of important secondary metabolites and metabolic pathways in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum-graecum* L.). Journal of Medicinal Plants. 2010;9(35):1-18.
30. Mirzaei F. Effect of herbal feed additives on performance parameters of ruminants, especially dairy goats: A review. Report and Opinion. 2011;3(10):18-36.
31. Mishra A, Niranjana A, Tiwari SK, Prakash D, Pushpangadan S. Nutraceutical composition of *Asparagus racemosus* (Shatavari) grown on partially reclaimed sodic soil. Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Sciences. 2005;27(3):240-248.



32. Mishra UK, Kanesh JS, Mandal AK, Das RK, Rayaguru K, Parija SC. Potentials of herbal galactagogues in milk production of ruminants. The Indian Cow. 2006 Jul-Sep;44-52.
33. Mohanty SK, Swamy MK, Sinniah UR, Anuradha M. *Leptadenia reticulata* (Retz.) Wight & Arn. (Jivanti): botanical, agronomical, phytochemical, pharmacological, and biotechnological aspects. Molecules. 2017;22(6):1019. Available from: <https://www.mdpi.com/1420-3049/22/6/1019>
34. Moulvi MV. Lactogenic properties of Leptaden. Indian Veterinary Journal. 1963;40:657.
35. Patel VK, Chauhan HD, Pawar MM, Srivastava AK, Prajapati KB. Effect of herbal galactagogue supplementation on production performance of lactating Kankrej cows. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(12):2093-2098.
36. Patel VK, Joshi A, Kalma RP, Parmar SC, Damor SV, Chaudhary KR. *Shatavari* (*Asparagus racemosus*), *Jivanti* (*Leptadenia reticulata*) and *Methi* (*Trigonella foenum-graecum*): the herbal galactagogues for ruminants. Livestock Science. 2016;7:231-237.
37. Ramesh PT, Mitra SK, Suryanarayana T, Sachan A. Evaluation of Galactin, a herbal galactagogue preparation in dairy cows. The Veterinarian. 2000;2(4):1-3.
38. Ravikumar BR, Bhagwat VG. Study of the influence of Galactin Vet Bolus on milk yield in lactating dairy cows. Livestock Line. 2008;5-7.
39. Sabnis PB, Gaitonde BB, Jetmalani M. Effect of alcoholic extract of *Asparagus racemosus* on mammary gland of rats. Indian Journal of Experimental Biology. 1968;6(1):55-57.
40. Saini RK. A comprehensive study of polyphenols contents and antioxidant potential of 39 widely used spices and food condiments. Journal of Food Measurement and Characterization. 2018;12:1548-1555.
41. Sastry BS, Vijayalaxmi T, Venkata RD, Venkata RE. Chemical constituents of stem bark of *Leptadenia reticulata*. Indian Drugs. 1985;22:611-612.
42. Savoini G, Agazzi A. Transition cow: Nutritional prophylaxis. Veterinary Research Communications. 2003;27(Suppl 1):153-156.
43. Schmelzer GH, Gurub-Fakim A, Arroo RRJ. Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 11: Medicinal Plants 2. Wageningen (NL): PROTA Foundation; 2013. p. 158-159.
44. Sharma A. Influence of polyherbal immunomodulator supplementation on production performance and milk quality of Karan-Fries cows. [PhD thesis]. Karnal (India): National Dairy Research Institute; 2010.
45. Singh SP, Mehla RK, Singh M. Plasma hormones, metabolites, milk production and cholesterol levels in Murrah buffaloes fed with *Asparagus racemosus* in transition and postpartum period. Tropical Animal Health and Production. 2012;44:1827-1833.
46. Singhal SP. Study on the effect of feeding Payapro on milk yield in lactating cows. Dairy Guide. 1995;1:45-47.
47. Sinha R, Rauniar GP, Panday DR, Adhikari S. Fenugreek: pharmacological actions. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2015;5(1):1481-1489.
48. Sirvi, Yadav VS. Effect of feeding Shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*) root powder on qualitative and quantitative parameters of milk in crossbred cows.
49. Sivarajan VV, Balachandran I. Ayurvedic Drugs and Their Plant Sources. Delhi (India): Oxford & IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd.; 1994. p.195-200.
50. Snedecor GW, Cochran WC. Statistical Methods. 8th ed. New Delhi (India): Oxford and IBH Publishing Co.; 1994.
51. Soni M, Kumar V, Goswami SC, Jain M, Rana S. Effect of Shatavari supplementation on fat percentage and fat yield in the milk of Kankrej cows. Veterinary Practitioner. 2016;17(2):280-281.
52. Sridhar NB, Bhagwat VG. Study to assess the efficacy and safety of Galactin Vet Bolus in lactating dairy cows. Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2007;41(4):496-502.
53. Srivastav S, Deepak D, Khare A. Three novel pregnane glycosides from *Leptadenia reticulata* Wight & Arn. Tetrahedron. 1994;50:789-798.
54. Subramanian PS, Lakshman AJ. On the constituents of *Leptadenia reticulata* Wight & Arn.: occurrence of simiarenol. Indian Journal of Chemistry. 1977;5:180.
55. Sumanth M, Narasimharaju K. Evaluation of galactagogue activity of Lactovedic: a polyherbal formulation. International Journal of Green Pharmacy. 2011;5(1).
56. Tanwar PS, Rathore SS, Kumar Y. Effect of Shatavari (*Asparagus racemosus*) on milk production in dairy animals. Indian Journal of Animal Research. 2008;42:232-233.
57. Thakur SS, Tyagi AK, Singhal KK. Effect of a commercial herbal feed supplement on the performance of lactating cows. Indian Journal of Animal Nutrition. 2006;23(4):244-246.
58. Van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber and non-starch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. Journal of Animal Science. 1991;74:3583-3597.
59. Verma SCI, Agarwal SL. Studies on *Leptadenia reticulata* (Part II): preliminary chemical investigations. Indian Journal of Medical Research. 1962;50:439-445. PMID:1392548.
60. Wu Z, Sleiman FT, Theurer CB, Santos F, Simas JM, Francolin M, et al. Effect of isocaloric infusion of glucose in the rumen or propionate in the duodenum. Journal of Dairy Science. 1994;77:1556-1562.
61. AOAC International. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 18th ed. Gaithersburg (MD): AOAC International; 2005.