

ISSN Print: 2664-844X ISSN Online: 2664-8458 NAAS Rating (2025): 4.97 IJAFS 2025; 7(12): 203-206 www.agriculturaljournals.com Received: 05-10-2025 Accepted: 06-11-2025

RP Jambhulkar

Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

MS Mane

Associate Dean, College of Agriculture, Pune, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

DD Khedkar

Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

SK Ghodke

Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

R M Gethe

Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

VS Patil

Head of Department, Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

VM Chandrikapure

Department of Agronomy, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: RP Jambhulkar

Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri, Dist Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India

Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on growth and yield of chilli

RP Jambhulkar, MS Mane, DD Khedkar, SK Ghodke, RM Gethe, VS Patil and VM Chandrikapure

DOI: https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i12c.1042

Abstract

The field experiment entitled, "Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on growth and yield of chilli" was conducted during the year Summer 2024 - 2025 at the Research Farm of the Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, MPKV Rahuri Dist. Ahilyanagar, Maharashtra, India with an objective of effect of foliar spray nano urea application on growth, yield and economics of chilli (cv. Navtej). The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with ten treatments and three replications and experiment comprises of T₁ -100 % RDF, T₂- 75% RDN, T₃- 50% RDN, T₄ - 75% RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.5% at 30 and 50 DAP, T5 - 75% RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 1% at 30 and 50 DAP, T₆- 75% RDN with two foliar spray of conventional urea @ 1 % at 30 and 50 DAP, T₇ - 50 % RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.5% at 30 and 50 DAP, T₈ - 50 % RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 1 % at 30 and 50 DAP, T9- 50% RDN with two foliar spray of conventional urea @ 1 % at 30 and 50 DAP and T10 - Absolute control. Chilli crop was planted by hand dibbling at 45cm × 60 cm spacing. The irrigation was done as per climatological parameter. The Results revealed that all the growth, yield and economics contributing character were recorded higher and significantly superior in T₁- 100% RDF. Significantly higher yield of chilli (153.17 q ha⁻¹) was recorded in 100% RDF and it was at par with T5 - 75 % RDN with two foliar sprays of nano urea @ 1% at 30 and 50 DAP (128.51 q ha⁻¹) and T₆ - 75% RDN with two foliar sprays of conventional urea @ 1 % (107.32 q ha⁻¹). The same trend recorded in economics of chilli i.e. T₁ 100% RDF recorded significantly superior in gross monetary returns (530578 ₹ ha⁻¹), net monetary returns (346736 ₹ ha⁻¹), and benefit cost ratio (2.88). It is concluded that, 100 % RDF (100:50:50 N: P₂O₅: K₂O kg ha⁻¹) and 75 % foliar application of nano urea @1% at 30 and 50 DAP and 75 % foliar application of conventional urea @1% at 30 and 50 DAP is an effective and eco-friendly strategy to enhance chilli productivity while reducing reliance on chemical fertilizers.

Keywords: Capsicum annuum, nano urea, foliar nutrition, nitrogen management, nutrient use efficiency, yield

Introduction

Chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) is one of the most important solanaceous crops cultivated across tropical and subtropical regions of the world, primarily grown by small and marginal farmers due to its high market value and multipurpose utilization as a vegetable, spice and condiment. The crop originated in Central and South America, where it was first domesticated around 7000 BC, and later spread globally through Spanish and Portuguese explorers during the 15th–16th century. Chilli holds significant economic, nutritional and medicinal importance, serving as a rich source of vitamins A, C, B-complex and essential minerals such as potassium, iron and magnesium. The pungency in chilli is attributed to capsaicin, which possesses therapeutic properties and is utilized in pharmaceutical and food industries for treating ailments such as neuralgia, rheumatic disorders and non-allergic rhinitis (Bhutia *et al.*, 2018) [4].

India is one of the leading chilli-producing countries globally, with major cultivation concentrated in states like Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar and Maharashtra (Anonymous, 2023) ^[1]. Despite its wide cultivation, chilli productivity is constrained by imbalanced nutrient management particularly nitrogen (N) which is highly susceptible to leaching, volatilization and denitrification, leading to only 30–40% N-use efficiency in conventional urea fertilizers. Excessive use of chemical fertilizers further causes soil degradation, environmental pollution and increased production cost for farmers.

In horticultural crops including chilli, the application of nano-fertilizers has shown significant improvement in growth traits, yield attributes and fruit quality due to efficient nutrient uptake and physiological enhancement (Malik *et al.*, 2020; Rather *et al.*, 2022; Sunil *et al.*, 2024) [11, 19, 21]. Moreover, nano urea—based nutrient management has demonstrated economic viability by lowering fertilizer inputs and increasing benefit-cost ratio (Mishra *et al.*, 2020; Hemavathi, 2022) [13, 9].

Considering the increasing global emphasis on sustainable agricultural intensification, nano urea presents a promising alternative to conventional nitrogen fertilizers. However, scientific information on its efficiency in chilli under summer production and drip irrigation conditions is still limited.

Therefore, the present investigation entitled "Effect of foliar sprays of nano urea on growth and yield of chilli" during summer 2024 at interfaculty Department of irrigation water management, PGI, M.P.K.V., Rahuri, with an objective of to study growth, yield and economics of chilli as influenced by foliar spray of nano urea.

Material and Method

The present research entitled, "Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on growth and yield of chilli" was carried out at Post Graduate Institute, on farm of Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, during Summer 2024-25 with an

objective to study growth, yield and economics of chilli as influenced by foliar spray of nano urea. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with ten treatments and three replications and experiment comprises of T₁ -100 % RDF, T₂- 75% RDN, T₃- 50% RDN, T₄ - 75% RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.5% at 30 and 50 DAP, T₅ - 75% RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 1% at 30 and 50 DAP, T₆- 75% RDN with two foliar spray of conventional urea @ 1 at 30 and 50 DAP %, T₇ - 50 % RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 0.5% at 30 and 50 DAP, T₈ - 50 % RDN with two foliar spray of nano urea @ 1 % at 30 and 50 DAP, T₉- 50% RDN with two foliar spray of conventional urea @ 1 % at 30 and 50 DAP and T_{10} -Absolute control. The treatments plots were prepared after ploughing and harrowing the experimental field was divided in thirty-unit plots with plot size 7.2 m x 2.4 m as per the plan of layout of the experimental plot. The recommended dose of fertilizer for chilli 100:50:50 N: P2O5: K2O Kg ha-1 and foliar application of Nano urea @ 1 % at 30 and 50 DAP and foliar application of conventional urea @ 1% at 30 and 50 DAP. Chilli crop was planted by hand dibbling at 45 cm × 60 cm spacing with variety Navtej. The irrigation was done as per climatological parameter. Uniform plant population was maintained by gap filling at 15 days after planting. Statically significance of the treatments effects on growth, yield and economics were tested by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Microsoft excel.

Table 1: Initial chemical properties of soil

Sr. no.	parameters	Composition	Method used	References	
1.	pH (1:2.5)	8.01	Potentiometer	Piper (1966)	
2.	EC (dS m ⁻¹)	0.26	Conductometer	Jackson (1973)	
3.	Organic carbon (%)	0.65	Wet oxidation method	Nelson and Sommer (1982)	
4.	Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	207.15	Alkaline permanganate method	Subbiah and Asija (1956)	
5.	Available P (kg ha ⁻¹)	18.52	0.5 M NaHCO ₃ (pH 8.5)	Watanabe and Olsen (1965)	
6.	Available K (kg ha ⁻¹)	414.4	N N NH4OAc	Hanway and Heidal (1967)	

Table 2: Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on growth parameters of chilli at harvest

Tr. No.	Treatments	Plant height plant ⁻¹ (cm)	Number of leaves plant ⁻¹		Girth of stem plant ⁻¹ (cm)	Chlorophyll content (by SPAD value)
T_1	100 % RDF	69.80	315.80	32.63	7.99	63.96
T_2	75 % RDN	67.33	299.88	29.92	7.88	61.81
T ₃	50 % RDN	65.40	292.30	25.57	7.46	56.29
T ₄	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 0.5 % at 30 and 50 DAP	68.13	301.43	30.50	7.91	62.94
T ₅	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	69.70	310.17	31.95	7.96	63.57
Т6	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of conventional urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	69.06	303.13	30.63	7.93	62.96
Т7	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 0.5 % at 30 and 50 DAP	66.16	295.01	26.06	7.76	58.22
T ₈	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	66.83	299.59	29.07	7.84	61.36
Т9	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of conventional urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	66.53	298.30	28.45	7.81	58.71
T ₁₀	Absolute control	64.80	282.60	25.43	7.27	54.82
	S.E.(m) ±	0.09	0.52	0.15	0.05	0.44
	C.D at 5 %	0.28	2.14	0.46	0.17	1.34
	General mean	67.37	299.82	29.03	7.78	60.46

Table 3: Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on yield and yield attributes of chilli at harvest

Tr. No.	Treatments	Average number of fruit plant ⁻¹	Average weight of fruit plant ⁻¹ (g)	Dry matter (g)	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)
T_1	100 % RDF	581.36	1046.43	89.24	153.17
T_2	75 % RDN	459.85	889.73	87.44	128.51
T3	50 % RDN	414.53	774.53	83.10	107.32
T_4	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 0.5 % at 30 and 50 DAP	426.98	953.73	88.07	134.10
T ₅	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	581.08	1038.50	89.21	147.58
T ₆	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of conventional urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	505.14	978.50	89.18	139.10
T 7	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 0.5 % at 30 and 50 DAP	412.58	781.58	83.52	107.89
T ₈	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	446.01	866.59	86.39	121.76
T9	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of conventional urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	427.69	816.36	85.13	113.67
T ₁₀	Absolute control	347.16	747.16	81.50	100.57
	S.E.(m) ±	3.72	4.84	0.30	2.97
	C.D at 5 %	11.17	14.52	0.92	8.91
	General mean	460.24	889.31	86.38	125.36

Table 4: Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on economics of chilli at harvest

Tr. No.	Treatments	Gross Monetary	Cost of Cultivation	Net Monetary	B:C ratio
		Return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	(₹ ha ⁻¹)	Return (₹ ha ⁻¹)	D.C Tatio
T_1	100 % RDF	530578	183841	346736	2.88
T_2	75 % RDN	445156	181110	264046	2.45
T ₃	50 % RDN	371754	186020	185734	1.99
T ₄	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 0.5 % at 30 and 50 DAP	464520	186378	278141	2.49
T ₅	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	511214	189066	322147	2.70
T ₆	75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of conventional urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	481834	183757	298081	2.62
T ₇	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 0.5 % at 30 and 50 DAP	373729	186048	187680	2.01
T ₈	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 % at 30 and 50 DAP	421774	188736	233037	2.23
T ₉	50 % RDN + two foliar sprays of conventional urea $@1.0$ % at 30 and 50 DAP	393751	183427	210323	2.14
T ₁₀	Absolute control	348372	179481	168891	1.94
	S.E.(m) ±	10299.01		10299.01	
	C.D at 5 %	30876.44		30876.44	
	General mean	434273		249258.40	

Result and discussion

Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on growth parameter of chilli

The growth parameters are presented in table 1 and revealed that, the T1 100% RDF was recorded significantly higher in plant height plant⁻¹ (68.80 cm), number of leaves plant⁻¹ (315.80), number of branches plant ⁻¹ (32.63), girth of stem plant⁻¹ (7.99 cm), chlorophyll content plant⁻¹ by SPAD value (63.96) at harvest. However, it was found at par with treatment T₅ 75% RDN with two foliar sprays of nano urea @1 % at 30 and 50 DAP, T_6 75% RDN with two foliar sprays of conventional urea @1 % at 30 and 50 DAP. These results might be due to the nano-sized particles having a large surface area and an advanced delivery mechanism, such as a controlled nutrient release pattern. This enhances nutrient use efficiency. The improved availability of nitrogen key component of chlorophyll and proteins leads to more effective photosynthesis. Similar observations were reported by Drostkar et al. (2016), Merghany et al. (2019) and Neeruggi et al., (2024) [6, 12, 14] in chilli,

Effect of foliar application of nano urea on yield and yield attributing characters of chilli

The data regarding yield and yield contributing parameters of soybean are presented in Table 2.the treatment T1 100% RDF was recorded significantly higher in average number of fruits plant⁻¹(581.36) weight of fruit plant⁻¹(1046.43) dry matter content plant⁻¹(89.24) and yield (153.15q ha⁻¹). However, it was found at par with treatment T₅ 75% RDN with two foliar sprays of nano urea @1 % at 30 and 50 DAP, T₆ 75% RDN with two foliar sprays of conventional urea @1 % at 30 and 50 DAP. These results might be due to the chilli is primarily influenced by the plant's photosynthetic activity and the efficiency of assimilate translocation. Photosynthetic output, in turn, is dependent on various growth parameters such as plant height and leaf number, which contribute to increased fruit number and overall fruit weight per plant as well as dry matter and yield. These findings are consistent with the observations of Merghany et al. (2019) and Panda et al. (2020), Mishra et al. (2020) and Neeruggi et al., (2024) [13, 12, 14, 16] also reported similar findings.

Effect of foliar spray of nano urea on economics of chilli at harvest

The data on economics of soybean are presented in Table 3. Significantly higher gross monetary returns and net monetary returns were recorded in treatment T1 100% RDF was recorded significantly higher in gross monetary returns recorded ₹5,30,578 ha⁻¹ and net monetary returns ₹3,46,736 ha⁻¹ However, it was statistically at par with treatment T₅ *i.e.* 75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 %, at 30 and 50 DAP, amounting ₹5,11,214 ha⁻¹. The higher B:C ratio was recorded in treatment T₁ *i.e.* 100 % RDF was recorded 2.88, followed by the treatment T₅ *i.e.* 75 % RDN + two foliar sprays of Nano Urea @ 1.0 %, at 30 and 50 DAP with a ratio of 2.70. These findings are consistent with the reports of Asawale *et al.* (2021) and Hemavathi *et al.* (2022), Panda *et al.* (2020), Bayan *et al.* (2021) and Neeruggi *et al.* (2024) [2, 9, 14, 3, 16]

Conclusion

On the basis of one year experiment, it is concluded that application of 100 % recommended dose of fertilizer with (100:50:50; N: P₂O₅: K₂O kg ha⁻¹) was recorded significantly highest in growth, yield and yield attributing character, nutrient uptake and availability and economics of chilli.

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I wish to express my profound sense of gratitude to my Research guide Dr. M. S. Mane, Associate Dean, College of Agriculture, Pune, M.P.K.V., Rahuri, for being the driving force throughout the journey of my master's degree programme. My sincere appreciation goes to the members of my advisory committee Dr. D. D. Khedkar, Associate Professor of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering, Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, M.P.K.V, Rahuri., Dr. S. K. Ghodke, Assistant Professor of Soil Science Interfaculty Department of Irrigation Water Management, M.P.K.V., Rahuri, Dr. R.M. Gethe, Professor, Department of Agronomy, PGI, M.P.K.V, Rahuri, for their valuable guidance, inoperative gaze and suggestions during the course of research work.

References

- 1. Anonymous. FAO statistics. Rome: FAO; 2023. Available from: https://www.fao.org/faostat
- Asawale SS, Kale KB. Standardization of fertigation schedule for summer okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.). Green Farming. 2021;12(3-4):144–147.
- 3. Bayan B, Basit, Dilzar. Effect of foliar application of nano-NPK fertilizer on growth and yield of broad bean (Vicia faba L.). J Pure Appl Sci. 2021;33(4):90–99.
- 4. Bhutia K, Khanna V, Meetei T, Bhutia N. Effects of climate change on growth and development of chilli. Agrotechnology. 2018;7(2):1–4.
- 5. Ditta A. How helpful is nanotechnology in agriculture. Adv Nat Sci Nanosci Nanotechnol. 2012;3(3):033002.
- Drostkar E, Talebi R, Kanouni H. Foliar application of Fe, Zn and NPK nano fertilizers on seed yield and morphological traits in chickpea under rainfed condition. J Econ Res. 2016;4(2):221–228.
- 7. Dutta B, Anurag B. IFFCO nano-urea liquid and its supremacy over conventional urea in India. 2021;1–4.
- 8. Hanway TJ, Heidel H. Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa state soil testing laboratory. Iowa Agric. 1967;57:1–31.

- Hemavathi G. Influence of nano urea application on growth, yield and quality parameters of okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L.) [dissertation]. Shivamogga: Keladi Shivappa Nayaka Univ Agric Hortic Sci; 2022.
- 10. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Pvt Ltd; 1973. 498 p.
- 11. Malik AA, Narayan S, Magray MM, Shameem S, Hussain BS, Bangroo S. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, yield, quality and seed yield of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) under temperate conditions of Kashmir Valley. Int J Chem Stud. 2020;8(4):2781–2784.
- 12. Merghany MM, Shahein MM, Sliem MA, Abdelgawad KF, Radwan AF. Effect of nano-fertilizers on cucumber plant growth, fruit yield and quality. Plant Arch. 2019;19(2):165–172.
- 13. Mishra B, Sahu GS, Mohanty LK, Swain BC, Hati S. Effect of nano fertilizers on growth, yield and economics of tomato variety Arka Rakshak. Indian J Pure Appl Biosci. 2020;8(6):200–204.
- Neeruggi R, Nayaka KS. Effect of nano DAP and urea on growth, yield and quality of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.) [MSc thesis]. Shivamogga: Keladi Shivappa Nayaka Univ Agric Hortic Sci; 2024.
- 15. Nelson DW, Sommers LE. Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter. In: Methods of soil analysis. Madison: Am Soc Agron; 1982. p. 539–579.
- Panda J, Nandi A, Mishra SP, Pal AK, Pattanaik AK, Jena NK. Effects of nano fertilizer on yield, yield attributes and economics in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2020;9:2583–2591.
- 17. Piper CS. Soil and plant analysis. Bombay: Hans Publ; 1966.
- 18. Rahale S. Nutrient release pattern of nanofertilizer formulation [PhD thesis]. Coimbatore: Tamil Nadu Agric Univ; 2011.
- 19. Rather AM, Narayan S, Hussain K, Khan FA, Mir SA, Malik AA, *et al.* Influence of nitrogen, copper and zinc nanofertilizers on growth characteristics of chilli (Capsicum annuum var. annuum L.). Pharma Innov J. 2022;11(12):946–949.
- 20. Subbiah BV, Asija GL. Rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soil. Curr Sci. 1965;25:259–260.
- 21. Sunil C, Kadam PV, Kanavi GBJ, Onte S, Salimath SB. Comparative assessment of nano nitrogen and nano zinc nutrition on growth, yield and profitability of chilli (Capsicum annuum L.). J Plant Nutr. 2024;1–15.
- 22. Watanabe FS, Olsen SR. Test of an ascorbic acid method for determining phosphorus in water and NaHCO₃ extracts from the soil. Indian Soc Soil Sci. 1965.