



ISSN Print: 2664-844X  
ISSN Online: 2664-8458  
NAAS Rating (2025): 4.97  
IJAFS 2025; 7(12): 436-438  
[www.agriculturaljournals.com](http://www.agriculturaljournals.com)  
Received: 05-10-2025  
Accepted: 08-11-2025

**AA Deshmukh**  
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
AHDS, COA, VNMKV,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**GK Londhe**  
Professor and Head, M.Sc.  
Scholar, Department of AHDS,  
COA, VNMKV, Parbhani,  
Maharashtra, India

**SD Bhandare**  
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
AHDS, COA, VNMKV,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**BB Pothale**  
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
AHDS, COA, VNMKV,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

**Corresponding Author:**  
**AA Deshmukh**  
M.Sc. Scholar, Department of  
AHDS, COA, VNMKV,  
Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

## Studied on Preparation of *Burfi* Incorporated with Makhana (Euryale Ferox Salisb) Flour

**AA Deshmukh, GK Londhe, SD Bhandare and BB Pothale**

**DOI:** <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i12f.1073>

### Abstract

*Burfi* is the most popular Khoa based indigenous sweet, commonly prepared in the country. The present study was undertaken to develop value added *burfi* with makhana flour for better nutritional profile. Value added *Burfi* was prepared from Khoa using buffalo milk. The control (T<sub>1</sub>) and makhana at 2% (T<sub>2</sub>), 4% (T<sub>3</sub>) and 6% (T<sub>4</sub>) levels based on weight of milk. The formulated samples were evaluated for their sensory quality, textural parameters, physico-chemical properties and its production cost to determine the most suitable formulation. The textural properties of *burfi* differed significantly among treatments. Hardness, springiness and gumminess increased from T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>4</sub>, indicating a firmer and more elastic product at higher treatment levels. In contrast, cohesiveness decreased, while adhesiveness became more negative, showing increased stickiness. All variations were statistically significant (p≤0.05), confirming that treatments had a marked effect on the texture of *burfi*.

**Keywords:** *Burfi*, roasted makhana flour, sensory evaluation, storage conditions, texture profile

### Introduction

India is the world's leading milk producer, with an annual production of about 239 million tonnes and a per capita availability of 459 g per day (PIB, GOI, 2024). Nearly 45.7% of the milk produced is consumed in liquid form, while a substantial proportion of the remaining milk is utilized in the manufacture of traditional dairy products such as khoa, *burfi*, gulabjamun and peda (Pal *et al.*, 2006) [7]. Buffalo milk is commonly preferred for khoa-based sweets because of its higher fat and protein content, which contributes to superior texture and flavor (Aggarwal *et al.*, 2018) [1]. *Burfi* is one of the most widely consumed khoa-based indigenous sweets, characterized by a white to light cream color, firm body and smooth to slightly granular texture, with sugar and other ingredients added in varying proportions to suit consumer preferences. Although milk is considered a nearly complete food, it is deficient in certain micronutrients such as iron, copper, dietary fiber and some vitamins. Hence, fortification or substitution with nutrient-dense ingredients is essential to improve the nutritional quality of traditional dairy products like *burfi*. Makhana (Euryale ferox Salisb.) seeds are widely consumed across India and are valued for their nutritional, medicinal, industrial and religious importance. They contain about 11.6% high-quality, easily digestible protein, are low in fat and are rich in carbohydrates (approximately 75.04%). Makhana seeds are also a good source of minerals such as calcium and magnesium and provide essential amino acids, including glutamic acid, arginine, leucine, valine and aspartic acid (Nath and Chakraborty, 1985) [6]. Despite being relatively low in dietary fiber, makhana has been reported to help lower blood cholesterol levels (Jha *et al.*, 1991) [3] and is traditionally used as a nutritive tonic for postnatal weakness, as well as an expectorant and cardiac stimulant. The calorific value of makhana is about 362 kcal/100 g in raw form and 328 kcal/100 g after popping and it contains appreciable amounts of vitamins A and C (Khadatkar *et al.*, 2020) [5]. Additionally, foxnut exhibits antioxidant, anti-aging and antidiabetic properties (Tahseen *et al.*, 2020). The present study addresses the limitation of traditional *burfi*, which lacks dietary fiber and essential micronutrients and whose regular consumption may have adverse long-term health implications. Incorporation of makhana flour into *burfi* may improve its nutritional, functional and health-promoting attributes without adversely affecting sensory quality. The specific objectives of the investigation were: to study the textural properties of the developed *burfi*.

## Material and Methods

Studied on Preparation of *Burfi* Incorporated with Makhana (*Euryale Ferox Salisb*) Flour, was carried out at the Department of Animal Husbandry and Dairy Science, VNMKV, Parbhani, in the year 2024-2025.

**Procurement of essential ingredients:** Ingredients such as buffalo milk, makhana and sugar were purchased from the local markets of Parbhani.

**Development of *Burfi*:** The process of preparing Makhana *Burfi* begins with the receipt of fresh buffalo milk, which is then filtered and standardized. The milk undergoes continuous vigorous heating at 55-60°C in an open pan with constant stirring and scraping to prevent burning and ensure uniform consistency. As the milk reduces and thickens into a viscous, pasty consistency, known as the 'pat' stage, roasted makhana flour is added at 2%, 4% and 6% rate based on weight of milk. The mixture is further cooked with khoa until well combined, then allowed to cool to around 30°C. At this stage, sugar constituting 30% of the weight of khoa is incorporated. The sweetened mixture is spread evenly into a greased stainless-steel tray to cool and set. Once firm, it is cut into rectangular pieces, packaged appropriately and stored at room temperature for distribution and consumption.

## Texture analysis

Texture profile of *burfi* was determined by using texture analyser (TAXT2i; M/s Stable micro systems; Software: Texture Expert Exceed, Version: 2.55), fitted with a 25 kg load cell and calibrated with 5 kg standard dead weight prior to use. *Burfi* was compressed twice in a reciprocating motion to obtain a two-bite texture profile curve using a double compression test. The various test parameters, used throughout the study, for whole, uncut *burfi* sample were P-75 compression probe, 1 mm/s probe pre-test speed, 0.5 mm/s test speed, 10 mm/s post-test speed, 7.5 mm distance (compression) and 25 ± 1 °C maintained sample temperature. The obtained texture profile curve (TPA) was used to determine the hardness, springiness, cohesiveness and gumminess of the tested market *burfi* samples.

## Statistical analysis

Data collected from multiple experiments throughout the optimization process, was subjected to statistical analysis utilizing the statistical software SPSS with a completely randomized design (CRD).

## Result and Discussion

### Texture analysis

**Table 1:** Textural properties of *burfi*

Treatment	Hardness (Kg))	Cohesiveness	Adhesiveness (Kg. sec.)	Springiness (mm)	Gumminess (Kg)
T <sub>1</sub>	1.004 <sup>d</sup>	0.0867 <sup>a</sup>	-0.02575 <sup>d</sup>	0.1135 <sup>d</sup>	0.26 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	1.234 <sup>c</sup>	0.0831 <sup>b</sup>	-0.03602 <sup>c</sup>	0.1214 <sup>c</sup>	0.36 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	1.423 <sup>b</sup>	0.0764 <sup>c</sup>	-0.12567 <sup>b</sup>	0.2243 <sup>b</sup>	0.87 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	3.923 <sup>a</sup>	0.0649 <sup>d</sup>	-0.1369 <sup>a</sup>	0.276 <sup>a</sup>	1.04 <sup>a</sup>
S.E. ±	0.01099	0.00031	0.000155	0.000138	0.001188
C.D. at 5%	0.0338	0.00097	0.000477	0.000426	0.003659

### Hardness

Hardness is a key parameter in evaluating the texture of makhana flour *burfi*. The results indicated that an increase in the proportion of makhana flour led to a corresponding rise in the hardness of the product. As shown in Table 1, the T<sub>1</sub> treatment recorded the lowest hardness value (1.004), while the highest value was observed in T<sub>4</sub> (3.925). This increase in hardness can be attributed to the reduced moisture content and the greater quantity of makhana flour in the formulation

### Cohesiveness

In makhana flour *burfi*, cohesiveness exhibited a declining trend from treatment T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>4</sub>, ranging between 0.0867 and 0.0649. This reduction could be associated with decreased moisture levels and a corresponding rise in total solids.

### Adhesiveness

Adhesiveness, which contributes to the perceived stickiness during sensory evaluation, ranged from -0.0275 to -0.1369 in Makhana *burfi* depending on sugar concentration.

### Springiness

The springiness of makhana flour *burfi* ranged from 0.1135 to 0.276. This variation was influenced by the proportion of makhana flour and sugar added, while maintaining a constant amount of *khoa*.

### Gumminess

An increase in the proportion of makhana flour led to a corresponding rise in gumminess in the *burfi* samples, which ranged from 1.352 in treatment T<sub>1</sub> to 2.435 in T<sub>4</sub>.

### References

1. Aggarwal D, Raju PN, Alam T, Arora L. Advances in processing of heat-desiccated traditional dairy foods of the Indian sub-continent and their marketing potential. Food and Nutrition Journal. 2018;3(3):172.
2. Dey NN, Amin BK. Effect of nutritional, sensory and texture properties of jackfruit seed (*Artocarpus heterophyllus* Lam.) flour burfi. International Journal of Science and Qualitative Analysis. 2017;3(4):42-48.
3. Jha V, Barat GK, Jha UN. Nutritional evaluation of *Euryale ferox* Salisb. (makhana). Journal of Food Science and Technology. 1991;28(5):326-328.
4. Kapare PB. Studies on preparation of burfi blended with finger millet [master's thesis]. Parbhani: Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth; 2017.
5. Khadatkar A, Gite LP, Gupta VK. Interventions to reduce drudgery of workers in the traditional method of harvesting makhana (*Euryale ferox* Salisb.) seed from ponds. Current Science. 2015;109(7):1332-1337.
6. Nath BK, Chakraborty AK. Studies on the amino acid composition of *Euryale ferox* Salisb. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 1985;22:293-296.

7. Pal D, Sabikhi L, Singh AK, Sharma K. Textbook of developments in traditional dairy products. Karnal: Centre of Advanced Studies, National Dairy Research Institute; 2006.
8. Pandey S, Poonia A. Studies on the preparation of antioxidant-rich ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) powder burfi with coconut sugar as a natural sweetener. Indian Journal of Dairy Science. 2020;73(1):32-39.
9. Press Information Bureau, Government of India. Release of basic animal husbandry statistics 2024 on the occasion of National Milk Day 2024. New Delhi: PIB; 2024.
10. Satav YL, Narwade SG, Kadam RP, Syed IH. Effect of walnut powder incorporation on sensory, nutritional and textural quality profile of burfi. Asian Journal of Animal Science. 2014;9(2):129-133.
11. Shrivastava AA, Pinto SV, Patel SM. Comparison of physico-chemical, microbiological and sensory quality of rava burfi and mawa burfi. Asian Journal of Home Science. 2017;12(2):522-530.
12. Tanuja, Pathak V, Goswami M. Development and quality evaluation of apple pomace incorporated burfi. Indian Journal of Dairy Science. 2017;70(2):162-166.
13. Tehseen S, Sarfraz F, Ateeq N, Ashfaq F, Yasmin I, Mehmood T. Foxnut (*Euryale ferox* Salisb.): A health fruit. Acta Scientific Agriculture. 2020;4(12):68-72.