

ISSN Print: 2664-844X ISSN Online: 2664-8458 NAAS Rating (2025): 4.97 IJAFS 2025; 7(7): 643-647 www.agriculturaljournals.com Received: 16-05-2025

Received: 16-05-2025 Accepted: 19-06-2025

#### Rutuja Barawkar

M.Sc. Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic crops, College of Horticulture, Dapoli. Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### Dr. PC Mali

Professor (CAS) and Head, Department of Fruit science, College of Horticulture, Dapoli, D.B.S.K.K.V, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### Dr. RC Gajbhiye

Associate Dean, College of Horticulture, Dapoli, D.B.S.K.K.V, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### Dr. YR Parulekar

Vegetable Specialist, Vegetable improvement scheme, Central experimental station, Wakawali, D.B.S.K.K.V, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### Dr. RV Dhopavkar

Ex. Deputy Director of Research (Agri.), D.B.S.K.K.V, Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### Corresponding Author: Rutuja Barawkar

M.Sc. Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic crops, College of Horticulture, Dapoli. Dr. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

# Effect of planting methods and fertilizer levels on growth of mint (Mentha spicata L.)

## Rutuja Barawkar, PC Mali, RC Gajbhiye, YR Parulekar and RV Dhopavkar

**DOI:** https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i7i.578

#### Abstrac

A field experiment entitled, "Effect of planting methods and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of mint (Mentha spicata L.)" was conducted at College of Horticulture, Dapoli, Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli during the year 2023-24. The experiment was laid out in Split plot design with 18 treatment combinations replicated thrice. The first factor consists of three planting methods i.e. 1) M<sub>1</sub>- Ridges and furrow method 2) M<sub>2</sub>- Raised bed method and 3) M<sub>3</sub>- Flat bed method and second factor consists of six fertilizer levels 1) F<sub>1</sub>- 100:50:40 NPK kg/ha 2) F<sub>2</sub>- 100:50:50 NPK kg/ha (control) 3) F<sub>3</sub>- 80:50:40 NPK kg/ha 4) F<sub>4</sub>- 80:50:50 NPK kg/ha 5) F<sub>5</sub>- 120:50:40 NPK kg/ha 6) F6- 120:50:50 NPK kg/ha. The results revealed that raised bed method of planting (M2) recorded significantly highest plant height (30.09 and 15.60 cm) Number of leaves (606.21 and 909.87) Number of branches (94.68 and 97.54) Plant spread E-W (39.81 and 37.25 cm) Plant spread N-S (39.41 and 42.28 cm). In fertilizer levels, application of 80:50:40 NPK kg/ha (F<sub>3</sub>) recorded maximum Plant height (34.88 and 16.97 cm) number of leaves (729.80 and 941.80) number of branches (100.84 and 97.71) plant spread E-W (41.63 and 38.33 cm) Plant spread N-S (41.47 and 40.80 cm). In interaction effect the treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> recorded the highest plant height (39.30 and 17.69 cm), Number of leaves (792.27 and 1115.40), Plant spread E-W (47.41 and 40.54 cm) and Plant spread N-S (42.87 and 45.73 cm) at 60 DAP and at 30 days after harvesting. Number of branches recorded highest in M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (113.40) treatment combination at 60 DAP and M2F3 treatment combination recorded highest number of branches (111.80) at 30 DAH.

Keywords: Mint, planting method, fertilizer level, growth parameters

#### Introduction

Mint is a stimulant herb that can enhance the taste of variety of foods. About 25 species of the genus *mentha* which are members of the lamiaceae family. Spearmint is considered an industrial crop among mint species Because it contains essential oils rich in particular monoterpenes like carvol, dihydrocarveole, dihydrocarveylacetate, menthol, menthone, caryophyllene, terpineol, and cubebene-all of which are widely used in the food (Edris *et al.*, 2003) [3], flavor, cosmetics, and pharmaceutical industries (Foda *et al.*, 2010) [4].

India started producing and exporting mint to other nations on a business basis in the 1980s. With an average productivity of almost 120 kg/ha, it is currently the largest producer of this crop in the world, taking approximately 0.30 million hectares of land and yielding 38,000 metric tonnes of essential oil annually. This supplies around 80% of the world's supply of mint oil, meeting 75% of global demand; China and Japan come in second and third, respectively, with roughly 10% of the total (Misra et al., 2000) [11]. Uttar Pradesh is the state that produces the most mentha in India, accounting for 80-90% of the overall production. Next in line are Himachal Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana, and Bihar. Karvy (2011) [8]. According to Pearson et al. (2010), the herb spearmint has stimulant, diuretic, restorative, carminative, anti-inflammatory, and anti-spasmodic qualities. Recently, spearmint has become wellknown as a powerful antioxidant source for nutraceuticals. It has strong antioxidant properties and is high in polyphenols. It is widely cultivated all over the world for the production of its highly valuable essential oil. The spear-shaped blossoms that distinguish it from other varieties give it its name, and it is believed to be the oldest mint. Mentha rotundifolia and Mentha longifolia are its parents. With notes of sweetness and lemon, spearmint's fresh, woodsy, and minty aroma has a cooling effect (Lawrence, 2007) [13].

For mint to develop and yield as best it can, the right planting technique and fertilizer level must be determined. Using the three planting techniques and different fertilizer levels, the goal is to increase the output per unit of land area. Nitrogen is vitally required for plant growth because it is key component of protein, nucleic acid, some hormones and chlorophyll which are critical for plant growth. Phosphorus and nitrogen are both essential and primarily found as phosphate esters, which are crucial for photosynthesis. Also, it is a major ingredient of phospholipids and nucleic acid. Potassium including its involvement in osmoregulation and the activation of several enzymes involved in respiration and photosynthesis. Therefore, the most crucial elements influencing nutrient absorption, development, yield, and plant quality are planting techniques and nutrient management. Different planting techniques increase crop quality and productivity. It aids with aeration, waterlogging prevention, and water use efficiency. Additionally, it improves crop stand and boosts the effectiveness of fertilizer utilization. This experiment was conducted to ascertain the optimal planting technique and fertilizer dosage to optimize mint yield.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during the year 2023-24 at Nursery No. 4, College of Horticulture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri to study the effect of planting methods and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of mint (*Mentha spicata* L.). The treatment combinations comprised with three planting methods *viz.*, M<sub>1</sub>- Ridge and furrow method, M<sub>2</sub>-Raised bed method and M<sub>3</sub>- Flat bed method and six fertilizer levels (F<sub>1</sub>- 100:50:40 NPK kg/ha, F<sub>2</sub>- 100:50:50 NPK kg/ha (control), F<sub>3</sub>- 80:50:40 NPK kg/ha, F<sub>4</sub>- 80:50:50 NPK kg/ha, F<sub>5</sub>- 120:50:40 NPK kg/ha and F<sub>6</sub>- 120:50:50 NPK kg/ha) were conducted with split plot design where planting methods were allocated to main plots and fertilizer levels were assigned to sub plots and replicated thrice.

The field selected for the experiment was well levelled. The whole experimental plot was brought to a fine tilth by repeated ploughings followed by harrowing by tractor drawn cultivator. In ridge and furrow method, ridges were prepared at 60 cm apart and with a length of 3 m. Raised beds of 15 cm height and 3 X 1m size were prepared with 1 m gap between two beds and mint cuttings were planted at 45 X 30 cm distance. Flat beds of size 3 X 1m were prepared with 1m gap between two beds and mint cuttings were planted at 45 X 30 cm distance. Fifteen to twenty days old terminal rooted cuttings of 12-15 cm height were used for planting.

Vermicompost @ 5 t/ha was applied at the time of planting while full dose of phosphorous in the form of single super phosphate was applied at the time of planting as per treatment as a basal dose. Nitrogen and potassium in the form of urea and muriate of potash were applied in three equal split doses @  $1/3^{\rm rd}$  N and K at planting,  $1/3^{\rm rd}$  at N and K at one month after planting and remaining  $1/3^{\rm rd}$  N and K at two month after planting.

Ten plants were randomly selected from each treatment for recording observations on growth and yield parameters. Observations regarding growth parameters were recorded at 15 days interval whereas yield parameters were recorded at first harvest *i.e.* 70 DAP and at second harvest *i.e.* 110 DAP. Gap filling and weeding were done wherever necessary.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Effect of planting methods

Planting methods significantly influenced the growth characters. The results revealed that raised bed planting method for mint recorded significantly the maximum plant height (30.09 and 15.60 cm), number of leaves (606.21 and 909.87), number of branches (94.68 and 97.54), plant spread E-W (39.81 and 37.25 cm) and plant spread N-S (39.41 and 42.28 cm) at 60 DAP and at 30 days after harvesting. The ridge and furrow method recorded the minimum plant height (27.06 and 14.18 cm), number of leaves (509.60 and 637.86), number of branches (73.73 and 59.26), plant spread E-W (33.75 and 32.11 cm) and plant spread N-S (33.73 and 32.77 cm) at 60 DAP and at 30 days after harvesting. The significant improvement noticed in growth and yield parameters observed with the raised bed method of planting might be due to improved drainage and aeration which promotes the development of deep root systems and better nutrient utilization consequently, it improved the physical condition of the soil in the raised bed method resulting in a good soil plant water relationship. With increased in nutrient and water use efficiency, improved aeration from elevated beds speeds up the pace of mineralization and significantly improves the growth characteristics. Moreover, these findings of plant growth with planting methods are in agreement with the works of Gurjar et al. (2022) [5] in chrysanthemum Nithin et al. (2018) [12] in menthol mint, Tomar et al. (2016) [17] in black gram, Varsha Rani et al. (2023)<sup>[18]</sup> in round red radish.

#### 3.2 Effect of fertilizer levels

The results revealed that application of fertilizer level F<sub>3</sub>-80:50:40 NPK kg/ha fertilizer level recorded significantly the highest plant height (34.88 and 16.97 cm), number of leaves (729.80 and 941.80), number of branches (100.84 and 97.71), plant spread E-W (41.63 and 38.33 cm) and plant spread N-S (41.47 and 40.80 cm) at 60 DAP and at 30 days after harvesting. The fertilizer levels F<sub>6</sub>- 120:50:50 NPK kg/ha recorded the lowest plant height (24.37 and 13.88 cm), number of leaves (419.00 and 620.67), number of branches (68.22 and 70.62), plant spread E-W (31.44 and 31.42 cm) and plant spread N-S (31.92 and 31.88 cm) at 60 DAP and at 30 days after harvesting. The fertilizer level showed significant effect on growth and yield parameters because of the adequate and well-balanced supply of necessary nutrients (NPK) at the ideal fertilizer levels, which are crucial for cell division, elongation, and the general development of vegetative growth. Potassium increased the efficiency of nutrient and water intake, phosphorous promoted root establishment and energy transmission, and nitrogen probably contributed to improved leaf development. The results were similar to the findings of Shelke *et al.* (2024) <sup>[16]</sup> in Kalmegh, Izhar *et al.* (2015) <sup>[7]</sup> in mint (Mentha arvensis), Kalita et al. (2018) [9] in tulsi, Patel et al. (2021) [14] in spinach, Alhassan et al. (2022) [1] in spearmint (Mentha spicata L.), Gupt et al. (2025) [6] in Japanese mint (Mentha arvensis L.), Pujankhanal et al. (2022)<sup>[15]</sup> in radish

Table 1: Effect of planting methods, fertilizer levels and their interaction on plant height of mint (Mentha spicata L.)

| Treatment         | I                | Plant heigh | t (cm) at 60   | ) DAP  | Plant height (cm) at 30 DAH |          |                |        |  |
|-------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|----------|----------------|--------|--|
| Fertilizer levels | Planting methods |             |                |        | Planting methods            |          |                |        |  |
|                   | $\mathbf{M}_1$   | $M_2$       | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   | $\mathbf{M}_1$              | $M_2$    | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   |  |
| $F_1$             | 26.86            | 28.09       | 28.91          | 27.95  | 13.71                       | 14.87    | 13.85          | 14.14  |  |
| $F_2$             | 25.56            | 30.59       | 27.28          | 27.81  | 14.05                       | 14.36    | 13.83          | 14.08  |  |
| F <sub>3</sub>    | 31.92            | 39.30       | 33.43          | 34.88  | 16.61                       | 17.69    | 16.33          | 16.97  |  |
| F <sub>4</sub>    | 29.49            | 31.69       | 32.77          | 31.31  | 13.59                       | 16.53    | 16.06          | 15.39  |  |
| F <sub>5</sub>    | 24.19            | 26.40       | 25.16          | 25.25  | 13.89                       | 15.70    | 14.27          | 14.62  |  |
| $F_6$             | 23.90            | 24.47       | 24.75          | 24.37  | 13.23                       | 14.48    | 13.93          | 13.88  |  |
| Mean              | 27.06            | 30.09       | 28.72          | 28.62  | 14.18                       | 15.60    | 14.71          | 14.83  |  |
|                   | S.Em±            | CD at 5%    |                | RESULT | S.Em±                       | CD at 5% |                | RESULT |  |
| M                 | 0.57             | 2.25        |                | SIG    | 0.22                        | 0.87     |                | SIG    |  |
| F                 | 0.61             | 1.77        |                | SIG    | 0.22                        | 0.63     |                | SIG    |  |
| MXF               | 1.06             | 3.07        |                | SIG    | 0.38                        | 1.09     |                | SIG    |  |

Table 2: Effect of planting methods, fertilizer levels and their interaction on number of leaves of mint (Mentha spicata L.)

| Treatment         |                  | Number of l    | leaves at 60   | DAP    | Number of leaves at 30 DAH |          |                |        |  |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------------------|----------|----------------|--------|--|
| Fertilizer levels | Planting methods |                |                |        | Planting methods           |          |                |        |  |
| reruiizer ieveis  | $M_1$            | M <sub>2</sub> | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   | $M_1$                      | $M_2$    | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   |  |
| $\mathbf{F}_1$    | 416.87           | 759.33         | 543.33         | 573.18 | 588.87                     | 907.40   | 646.73         | 714.33 |  |
| $F_2$             | 569.07           | 412.13         | 377.00         | 452.73 | 547.80                     | 824.27   | 734.93         | 702.33 |  |
| F <sub>3</sub>    | 630.47           | 792.27         | 766.67         | 729.80 | 826.80                     | 1115.40  | 883.20         | 941.80 |  |
| F <sub>4</sub>    | 516.33           | 739.47         | 562.47         | 606.09 | 738.73                     | 996.60   | 803.20         | 846.18 |  |
| $F_5$             | 465.00           | 508.13         | 577.67         | 516.93 | 544.87                     | 937.93   | 744.53         | 742.44 |  |
| $F_6$             | 459.87           | 425.93         | 371.20         | 419.00 | 580.07                     | 677.60   | 604.33         | 620.67 |  |
| Mean              | 509.60           | 606.21         | 533.06         | 549.62 | 637.86                     | 909.87   | 736.16         | 761.29 |  |
|                   | S.Em±            | CD at 5%       |                | RESULT | S.Em±                      | CD at 5% |                | RESULT |  |
| M                 | 9.59             | 37.63          |                | SIG    | 31.58                      | 123.96   |                | SIG    |  |
| F                 | 37.02            | 106.92         |                | SIG    | 19.95                      | 57.62    |                | SIG    |  |
| ΜXF               | 64.13            | 185.18         |                | SIG    | 34.56                      | 99.81    |                | SIG    |  |

Table 3: Effect of planting methods, fertilizer levels and their interaction on number of branches of mint (Mentha spicata L.)

| Treatment         | N                | Number of branches at 60 DAP |                |        |                  | Number of branches at 30 DAH |                |        |  |  |
|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------|------------------|------------------------------|----------------|--------|--|--|
| Fertilizer levels | Planting methods |                              |                |        | Planting methods |                              |                |        |  |  |
|                   | $\mathbf{M}_1$   | M <sub>2</sub>               | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   | $M_1$            | M <sub>2</sub>               | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   |  |  |
| F <sub>1</sub>    | 71.60            | 91.80                        | 84.53          | 82.64  | 54.47            | 86.40                        | 89.87          | 76.91  |  |  |
| F <sub>2</sub>    | 68.33            | 113.40                       | 53.47          | 78.40  | 51.60            | 93.93                        | 73.20          | 72.91  |  |  |
| F <sub>3</sub>    | 105.00           | 100.60                       | 96.93          | 100.84 | 76.40            | 111.80                       | 104.93         | 97.71  |  |  |
| F <sub>4</sub>    | 74.13            | 90.93                        | 95.33          | 86.80  | 71.20            | 101.87                       | 94.80          | 89.29  |  |  |
| F <sub>5</sub>    | 60.20            | 99.33                        | 83.47          | 81.00  | 49.33            | 95.67                        | 87.13          | 77.38  |  |  |
| F <sub>6</sub>    | 63.13            | 72.00                        | 69.53          | 68.22  | 52.53            | 95.60                        | 63.73          | 70.62  |  |  |
| Mean              | 73.73            | 94.68                        | 80.54          | 82.99  | 59.26            | 97.54                        | 85.61          | 80.80  |  |  |
|                   | S.Em±            | CD at 5%                     |                | RESULT | S.Em±            | CD at 5%                     |                | RESULT |  |  |
| M                 | 2.59             | 10.18                        |                | SIG    | 4.59             | 18.04                        |                | SIG    |  |  |
| F                 | 4.59             | 13.26                        |                | SIG    | 2.32             | 6.70                         |                | SIG    |  |  |
| MXF               | 7.95             | 22.97                        |                | SIG    | 4.02             | 11.61                        |                | SIG    |  |  |

Table 4: Effect of planting methods, fertilizer levels and their interaction on plant spread E-W of mint (Mentha spicata L.)

| Treatment         | Pla            | nt spread F | E-W (cm) at    | : 60 DAP | Plant spread E-W (cm) at 30 DAH |          |                |        |  |
|-------------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|---------------------------------|----------|----------------|--------|--|
| Fertilizer levels |                | Planti      | ng methods     | 1        | Planting methods                |          |                |        |  |
|                   | $\mathbf{M}_1$ | $M_2$       | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean     | $M_1$                           | $M_2$    | M <sub>3</sub> | Mean   |  |
| $F_1$             | 34.40          | 39.15       | 33.34          | 35.63    | 30.81                           | 38.16    | 36.99          | 35.32  |  |
| F <sub>2</sub>    | 27.45          | 41.28       | 34.92          | 34.55    | 30.89                           | 37.10    | 33.74          | 33.91  |  |
| F <sub>3</sub>    | 39.71          | 47.41       | 37.78          | 41.63    | 36.47                           | 40.54    | 37.99          | 38.33  |  |
| F <sub>4</sub>    | 37.90          | 39.93       | 36.41          | 38.08    | 35.17                           | 36.64    | 37.75          | 36.52  |  |
| F <sub>5</sub>    | 31.25          | 39.72       | 35.43          | 35.47    | 31.15                           | 36.50    | 31.05          | 32.90  |  |
| F <sub>6</sub>    | 31.80          | 31.34       | 31.17          | 31.44    | 28.19                           | 34.56    | 31.50          | 31.42  |  |
| Mean              | 33.75          | 39.81       | 34.84          | 36.13    | 32.11                           | 37.25    | 34.84          | 34.73  |  |
|                   | S.Em±          | CD at 5%    |                | RESULT   | S.Em±                           | CD at 5% |                | RESULT |  |
| M                 | 0.90           | 3.54        |                | SIG      | 0.92                            | 3.61     |                | SIG    |  |
| F                 | 1.09           | 3.14        |                | SIG      | 0.58                            | 1.68     |                | SIG    |  |
| MxF               | 1.88           | 5.44        |                | SIG      | 1.01                            | 2.91     |                | SIG    |  |

Plant spread N-S (cm) at 60 DAP Plant spread N-S (cm) at 30 DAH **Treatment** Planting methods Planting methods Fertilizer levels Μı  $M_2$  $M_1$ Mean M<sub>2</sub>  $M_3$ Mean  $M_3$  $F_1$ 38.50 40.14 35.29 37.98 31.96 44.07 35.46 37.16 38.78 34.15 32.99 33.50 39.59 32.63 35.24  $F_2$ 26.04 F<sub>3</sub> 40.93 42.87 40.61 41.47 36.94 45.73 39.73 40.80 36.13 38.87 33.37 35.20 44.05 37.12 38.79  $F_4$ 36.12 40.51  $F_5$ 31.78 34.55 35.61 31.45 40.93 32.40 34.93 39.31 29.01  $F_6$ 35.30 31.45 31.92 27.57 28.75 31.88 36.0236.46 33.73 39.41 34.90 32.77 42.28 34.35 Mean S.Em± CD at 5% RESULT S.Em± CD at 5% RESULT 0.65 2.56 M 0.85 3.35 SIG SIG 0.97 2.80 0.50 1.45 SIG SIG 2.50 M X F 1.68 4.85 0.87 SIG SIG

Table 5: Effect of planting methods, fertilizer levels and their interaction on plant spread N-S of mint (Mentha spicata L.)

### 3.3 Interaction effect of planting method and fertilizer levels

From the data presented in (Table 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5) the treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (raised bed method with 80:50:40 NPK kg/ha) recorded significantly the highest plant height (39.30 cm) at 60 DAP and (17.69 cm) at 30 DAH. M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (16.61 cm) treatment combination was found at par at 30 DAH, number of leaves highest in M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> treatment combination (792.27) at 60 DAP which was found at par with treatment combination  $M_2F_3$  (766.67),  $M_2F_1$  (759.33),  $M_2F_4$  (739.47) and  $M_1F_3$  (630.47) and at 30 DAH, treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (1115.40) significantly superior over rest of the treatments combinations, number of branches (113.40) were recorded in treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> which was found at par with treatment combination M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (105.00), M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (100.60), M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> (99.33), M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (96.93), M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (95.33), M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (91.80) and M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (90.93) at 60 DAP and at 30 DAH, M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (111.80) treatment combination recorded the highest number of branches which was found at par M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (104.93) and  $M_2F_4$  (101.87), plant spread E-W (47.41cm) at 60 DAP found significantly superior in M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> over other treatment combination and at 30 DAH, the treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (40.54 cm) recorded the highest plant spread which was found at par with treatment combination  $M_2F_1$  (38.16 cm),  $M_3F_3$  (37.99 cm) and  $M_3F_4$  (37.75 cm) and the highest plant spread N-S (42.87 cm) was recorded in M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> treatment combination which was found at par with M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (40.93 cm), M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (40.61 cm), M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>5</sub> (40.51 cm), M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (40.14 cm),  $M_2F_4$  (38.87 cm) and  $M_2F_2$  (38.78 cm) at 60DAP and at 30 DAH the treatment combination M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> (45.73 cm) recorded the highest plant spread which was found at par with treatment combinations M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> (44.07 cm) and M<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> (44.05 cm). The treatment combination M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>6</sub> recorded lowest plant height (23.90 cm) and (13.23 cm) at 60 DAP and at 30 DAH, number of leaves recorded lowest (371.20) in M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>6</sub> treatment combination at 60 DAP and at 30 DAH, M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>5</sub> recorded lowest number of leaves (544.87), the lowest number of branches (53.47) recorded at 60 DAP in M<sub>3</sub>F<sub>2</sub> treatment combination and at 30 DAH, the lowest number of branches (49.33) were recorded in M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>5</sub> treatment combination. At 60 DAP, plant spread E-W was recorded lowest (27.45 cm) in M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> treatment combination and M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>6</sub> recorded lowest (28.19 cm) plant spread E-W at 30 DAH. The lowest plant spread N-S (26.04 cm) was recorded in M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>2</sub> treatment combination at 60 DAP and at 30 DAH, M<sub>1</sub>F<sub>6</sub> recorded lowest plant spread N-S (27.57 cm). The results might be due to combination of improved soil conditions and deep root system brought about by raised bed methods and continuous soil nutrient availability over the growing season, better fertilizer use efficiency and improved nutrient uptake from nutrient application. Similar findings were also reported by Kumar and Singh (2014) [10] in French bean, Chawla *et al.* (2018) [2] in tuberose and Gurjar *et al.* (2022) [5] in chrysanthemum.

#### 4. Conclusion

From present investigation, it can be concluded that different planting methods, fertilizer levels and their interaction showed significant effect on growth and yield of mint. It was observed that in planting method raised bed method (M<sub>2</sub>) and fertilizer levels, 80:50:40 (F<sub>3</sub>) had significant effect on growth parameters like plant height, number of leaves, number of branches, plant spread (N-S) and (E-W) followed by flat bed method (M<sub>3</sub>) and ridge and furrow method (M<sub>1</sub>). So, it can be concluded that growing of mint on raised bed method along with the application of 80:50:40 NPK Kg/ha recorded highest growth attributes.

#### 5. Disclaimer (Artificial Intelligence)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing this manuscript.

#### 6. Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### 7. References

- 1. Alhasan AS, Hussein HA. Effect of applying different levels of nitrogen Fertilizer on growth and essential oil of spearmint (*Mentha spicata* L.). Int J Agric Stat Sci. 2022;18(1):137-140.
- Chawla SL, Patel M, Patil SJ, Bhatt D. Effect of land configuration and integrated nutrient management on growth, quality and yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa*) var. Prajwal. Indian J Agric Sci. 2018;88(12):1854-1858.
- 3. Edris AE, Shalaby AS, Fadel HM, Abdel-Wahab MA. Evaluation of a chemotype of spearmint (*Mentha spicata* L.) grown in Siwa Oasis, Egypt. Eur Food Res Technol. 2003;218(1):74-78.
- 4. Foda MI, El-Sayed MA, Hassan AA, Rasmy NM, El-Moghazy MM. Effect of spearmint essential oil on chemical composition and sensory properties of white cheese. J Am Sci. 2010;6(5):272-279.
- 5. Gurjar RA, Chawla SL, Patel DP, Pawar RD, Patil S. Effect of land configuration and nutrient management on growth, quality and yield of chrysanthemum

- (Dendranthema grandiflora Tzvelev) var. Thai Chen Queen. Prog Hortic. 2022;54(2):167-174.
- Gupt NK, Kumar R, Singh A. Impact of nitrogen and phosphorus nutrient management on the biochemical composition and yield attributes of mint (*Mentha* arvensis L.) essential oils. Int J Res Agron. 2025;8(1):266-272.
- 7. Izhar M, Khan M, Yasmin T, Zahid NY. Differential effects of fertilizers on menthol contents in Mint (*Mentha arvensis*). Am Res J Agric. 2015;1(1):55-60.
- Karvy. Mentha Oil Seasonal Report [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2025 Jul 31]. Available from: www.karvycomtrade.com
- 9. Kalita BP, Gogoi PK, Sarma A, Barua IC, Neog B. Effect of integrated nutrient management and different plant spacing on Tulsi. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(2):1352-1361.
- Kumar B, Singh GR. Response of land configurations, IW/CPE ratios and integrated nutrient supply systems on growth function, yield and water use efficiency of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L. PDR-14). Int J Agric Environ Biotechnol. 2014;7(4):825-831.
- Misra PN, Hasan SA, Kumar S. Cultivation of aromatic plants in India. Lucknow, India: Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants; 2000. p. 331.
- 12. Nithin MG, Farooq M, Pushpa TN, Prasad SD, Biradar IB. Influence of planting method and geometry on growth and yield of menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.). Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2018;7(9):1277-1283.
- 13. Lawrence BM. The composition of commercially important mints. In: Mint-The Genus of Mentha. New York: CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group; 2007. p. 280.
- Patel VK, Vikram B, Sikarwar P, Sengupta J. Effect of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and yield of spinach (*Spinacea oleracea* L.) cv. all green. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2021;10(1):2229-2231.
- Pujankhanal, Kumar J, Silas VJ, Yadav A, Giri H, Aashish KC, et al. Effects of different nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium levels on growth and yield parameters of radish (Raphanus sativus L.) Variety Pusa Himani. Pharma Innov J. 2022;11(7):2288-2292.
- Shelke AS, Patke NK, Shinde DF. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth & yield contributing characters of Kalmegh (*Andrographis paniculata*). Int J Res Agron. 2024;7(12):65-69.
- 17. Tomar SS, Dwivedi A, Singh A, Singh MK. Effect of land configuration, nutritional management module and biofertilizer application on performance, productivity and profitability of urdbean [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper], in North-Western India. Legume Res Int J. 2016;39(5):741-747.
- 18. Rani V, Topno SE, Prasad VM. Effect of different planting methods and spacing on growth, yield and quality of Round Red Radish (*Raphanus sativus*) cv. Scarlet Globe. Int J Plant Soil Sci. 2023;35(16):128-135.