



International Journal of Agriculture and Food Science

ISSN Print: 2664-844X
ISSN Online: 2664-8458
NAAS Rating: 4.97
IJAFS 2025; 7(8): 161-164
www.agriculturaljournals.com
Received: 07-05-2025
Accepted: 09-06-2025

Bibhu Binod

PG Scholar, Department of
Agricultural Economics, Naini
Agricultural Institute, Sam
Higginbottom University of
Agriculture Technology &
Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Victoria Amit Masih

Professor, Department of
Agricultural Economics, Naini
Agricultural Institute, Sam
Higginbottom University of
Agriculture Technology &
Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:

Bibhu Binod

PG Scholar, Department of
Agricultural Economics, Naini
Agricultural Institute, Sam
Higginbottom University of
Agriculture Technology &
Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Indigenous risk management practices and their effect on small agribusiness in supplementing farmer's income related to major vegetables in Patna District of Bihar

Bibhu Binod and Victoria Amit Masih

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/2664844X.2025.v7.i8c.605>

Abstract

The agricultural landscape of Patna district, Bihar, depends heavily on small-scale vegetable farming, often threatened by climate change, pests, market fluctuations, and weak risk management access. This study examines the role of Indigenous Risk Management Practices (IRMPs) in addressing these challenges and improving the income of farmers growing tomato, potato, and brinjal. Based on field data and farmer interviews, key practices include intercropping, neem-based bio-pesticides, cow urine sprays, composting, and traditional storage methods. These are supported by institutional tools like FPOs, crop insurance (PMFBY), and mobile-based weather and market updates. The findings show that blending traditional wisdom with modern support enhances productivity, reduces vulnerability, and boosts income. The paper urges policy support to scale these integrated, farmer-led solutions.

Keywords: Indigenous practices, risk management, small agribusiness, vegetable farming, farmer income

Introduction

Indigenous risk management practices have long been integral to small agribusinesses, especially in regions such as the Patna district of Bihar, where agriculture forms the backbone of the local economy. These practices, rooted in traditional knowledge passed down through generations, play a crucial role in mitigating the challenges posed by unpredictable climatic conditions, market fluctuations, and resource constraints. Small agribusinesses in this area, primarily focused on cultivating major vegetables such as tomatoes, potatoes, and brinjals, face numerous risks that can significantly impact farmers' incomes. The reliance on indigenous methods highlights their adaptability and resilience, enabling farmers to navigate uncertainties while maximizing productivity and profitability. Agriculture remains the backbone of rural livelihoods in India, and the state of Bihar is no exception. With a significant portion of its population dependent on farming, Bihar's agricultural sector plays a vital role in ensuring food security, generating employment, and driving rural development. Within this framework, vegetable cultivation has emerged as an important source of income for smallholder farmers, especially in the Patna district, which is known for producing major vegetables such as tomato, brinjal, cauliflower, and potato. However, the sector remains vulnerable to a range of risks including weather variability, pest infestations, price fluctuations, and lack of institutional support. These risks, if unmanaged, can severely affect the profitability and sustainability of small agri-businesses.

Methodology

The study was conducted in Patna district, Bihar, selected purposively due to its high concentration of small vegetable farmers. One block known for active vegetable cultivation was chosen, and 5% of villages were randomly selected. From these, 10% of farmers involved in vegetable farming were sampled based on landholding size. Primary data was collected through structured interviews using a pre-tested schedule, while secondary data was obtained from government reports and institutional sources. Vegetable markets and 10% of

market intermediaries were also surveyed. Data collection was completed during the 2024–2025 agricultural year for accurate and timely analysis.

1. Chi-Square Test (χ^2 Test)

Used to examine the relationship between categorical variables such as landholding size and adoption of risk management practices.

Formula: $\chi^2 = \sum (O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i$,

where, O_i = observed value (actual value) E_i = expected value.

2. Garrett's Ranking Technique

Used to identify and rank the preferences, constraints, or opinions of farmers.

Formula for Percent Position

Percent position = $100 (R_{ij} - 0.5) / N_j$ Where, R_{ij} = Rank given for the i th variable by j th respondents N_j = Number of variables ranked by j th respondents

3. Market Share

Used to estimate the proportion of total market revenue contributed by the farmer or group.

Market Share: $\frac{\text{Yours business revenue}}{\text{Total business revenue}} \times 100$

4. Marketing Cost

Measures the total cost incurred in marketing produce from farm to consumer.

Formula:

$$C = C_f + C_{m1} + C_{m2} + C_{m3} + \dots + C_{mn}$$

Where:

- C = Total marketing cost

- C_f = Cost borne by the farmer
- C_{mn} = Cost borne by each intermediary

5. Mean (Average)

Used to calculate average income, yield, or cost across observations.

Formula

$$\text{Mean} = \text{Sum of the Observation} / \text{No. of Numbers}$$

6. Price Spread

Measures the difference between the price received by the producer and the price paid by the consumer.

Formula

$$\text{Price spread} = \frac{(\text{Consumer price} - \text{Net price of producer}) \times 100}{\text{Consumer price}}$$

7. Marketing Margin

Calculates the margin earned by intermediaries in the marketing chain.

Formula

$$\text{Marketing margin} = \{ \text{Selling price} - (\text{purchase price} + \text{Marketing Cost}) \}$$

Results and Discussion

The findings highlight the impact of Indigenous Risk Management Practices (IRMPs) on the performance of small-scale vegetable farmers in Patna district. Farmers cultivating tomato, potato, and brinjal have adopted several IRMPs, such as neem-based bio-pesticides, traditional seed storage, organic composting, and mulching. These practices have significantly contributed to increased productivity, reduced input costs, and improved resilience against climate-related risks. The following tables summarize the risk factors, indigenous solutions, and outcomes of timely risk management interventions.

Table 1.1: Farmers' Opinions on Key Components of Integrated Risk Management

Component	% of Farmers Prioritizing It	Common Practices Used
Production Risk Management	85%	Use of FYM, intercropping, bio-pesticides, mulching, timely sowing
Market Risk Management	68%	Cooperative selling, local markets, traditional storage (bhakari, pits)
Financial Risk Management	62%	Crop insurance (PMFBY), Kisan Credit Card, group seed/fertilizer buying
Institutional Support	53%	PM-KISAN, soil health cards, extension training
Human Resource Strategies	46%	Labor-sharing, off-farm jobs, learning from peers

Table 1.1 outlines farmers' perspectives on integrated risk management components. Production risk management is the top priority for 85% of farmers, who use practices like FYM, intercropping, bio-pesticides, mulching, and timely sowing to safeguard yields. About 68% focus on market risk management through cooperative selling, local markets, and

traditional storage methods. Financial risk management, prioritized by 62%, includes crop insurance (PMFBY), Kisan Credit Cards, and group input purchases. Institutional support (53%) involves schemes like PM-KISAN and training programs, while 46% adopt labor-sharing, off-farm work, and peer learning to manage risks effectively.

Table 1.2: Highlighting the role of various organizations in managing agricultural risks

Institution/Cooperation	Key Role in Risk Management
FPOs (Farmer Producer Orgs)	Collective input purchase, shared machinery, direct market access
Agricultural Insurance (PMFBY)	Compensation for crop loss due to weather or pests
Primary Agricultural Credit Societies (PACS)	Credit support and fertilizer distribution
Horticulture Cooperatives	Specialized training and infrastructure for vegetable growers
Self Help Groups (SHGs)	Micro-loans, savings support, and knowledge sharing
NGO Collaborations	Capacity-building, awareness campaigns, digital advisory services

Table 1.2 highlights the vital roles of various organizations in managing agricultural risks. Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) support collective input purchases, machinery use, and direct market access. PMFBY provides crop loss compensation. PACS offer credit and fertilizer access. Horticulture cooperatives give specialized training and infrastructure. SHGs assist with micro-loans, savings, and shared knowledge, while NGOs enhance farmer capacity through awareness programs and digital advisory services, strengthening resilience and productivity.

Table 1.3: Adoption of Indigenous Risk Management Practices Among Small Vegetable Farmers in Patna, Bihar

Indigenous Practice	Adoption Rate (%)
Use of Farmyard Manure (FYM)	65.72%
Intercropping/Mixed Cropping	67.4%
Use of Neem Leaves for Pest Control	60%
Seed Storage in Earthen Pots with Ash	66.77%
Use of Botanical Pesticides (e.g., Cow Urine)	60%
Traditional Weather Forecasting Methods	79.86%
Use of Indigenous Storage Structures (e.g., Bhakari)	66.77%

Source: (Indian Journal of Extension Education,2020)

Table 1.3 shows high adoption of indigenous risk practices among Patna's small vegetable farmers. Traditional weather forecasting (79.86%) leads, followed by intercropping (67.4%) and earthen pot seed storage (66.77%). FYM use (65.72%), bhakari storage (66.77%), neem-based pest control (60%), and botanical pesticides (60%) also play key roles in managing risks effectively.

Table 1.4: Improving Agricultural Risk Management Practices in Bihar

Suggestion	Percentage of Farmers (%)
Need Better Insurance Schemes	28%
Access to Weather Forecasting Tools	22%
Training on Pest and Disease Control	18%
Real-time Market Price Information	17%
Government Subsidies & Support	15%

Table 1.4 highlights farmers' suggestions to strengthen agricultural risk management in Bihar. About 28% demand better insurance schemes, while 22% seek improved access to weather forecasting tools. Another 18% emphasize training on pest and disease control. Real-time market price updates (17%) and increased government subsidies and support (15%) are also seen as essential for reducing risk and improving farm income.

Conclusion

The study reveals that Indigenous Risk Management Practices (IRMPs) play a vital role in improving farm resilience and income among small-scale vegetable growers in Patna district, Bihar. For instance, 79.86% of farmers use traditional weather forecasting methods, and over 66% rely on seed storage in earthen pots with ash and indigenous structures like *bhakari*. Intercropping and mixed cropping are practiced by 67.4% of farmers, helping manage pest outbreaks and optimize land use. Institutional support also plays a key role, with 62% of farmers benefiting from financial tools like crop insurance (PMFBY) and Kisan Credit Cards, while 53% access government schemes such as PM-KISAN and extension training. Despite these strengths, 28% of farmers still demand better insurance

schemes, and 22% seek improved access to weather forecasting tools. The findings underline that combining traditional knowledge with formal institutional mechanisms can significantly enhance efficiency, productivity, and profitability. Policies should focus on scaling these practices through FPOs, SHGs, and mobile technology to strengthen adaptive capacity and secure farmer incomes. Thus, an integrated approach is essential to build a sustainable, risk-resilient agricultural system in Bihar.

References

- Hassan A, Farooq A, Ishaq M, Sadiq G, Nawaz A. Risk and coping strategies of vegetable smallholder farmers in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa of Pakistan. *Sarhad J Agric*. 2023 May;39(2).
- Kehinde AD, Ogundeji AA. Distributive impacts of non-farm income on output and farm income of cassava farmers in Southwestern Nigeria; 2023.
- Boakye AA. Indigenous risk management practices and their effects on small agribusiness firm growth amid economic crisis in Ghana. 2024, ISSN: 2468-2276.
- Senapati AK. Weather effects and their long-term impact on agricultural yields in Odisha, East India. 2020.
- Baskaran V. Marketing problems of agricultural products in Villupuram district. 2020.
- Panyukov DI, Kozlovskii VN. The cost-effectiveness of Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) in technical risk management. 2022.
- Barratt J, Kath J, Mushtaq S, Collins B, Chenu K, Christopher J, *et al*. Strategic use of index-based frost insurance to reduce financial risk and improve income stability for wheat producers in Australia. 2025.
- Kazzaz L. The art and science of opportunity identification: A strategic approach to business growth. 2024.
- Birthal PS, Hazrana J, Negi DS. Effectiveness of farmers' risk management strategies in smallholder agriculture: Evidence from India. *Agric Econ Res Rev*. 2021;169:Article number 30.
- Roger. What are the six steps in opportunity identification process. 2024.
- Kaplan RS, Leonard HB, Mikes A. The risks you can't foresee: What to do when there's no playbook. *Harvard Bus Rev*. 2020.
- Sethumadhavan R, Prasad AB, Sindhu K, Santosh, Rao DS, Dhanasekaran P, Mishra I. A critical note on evaluating risk management strategies tailored to the unique challenges faced by small-scale farmers. 2023.
- Jahan SA. Utilizing predictive analytics and machine learning for enhanced project risk management and resource optimization. 2024.
- Apaloo S, Dzah B. The effect of risk management practices on performance of small and medium scale enterprises. *Enterp Risk Manag*. 2022;7(1):149–160.
- Shang Y, Xiong T. The impact of farmers' assessments of risk management strategies on their adoption willingness. 2021.
- Kanwal V, Sirohi S. Addressing agricultural income risks in India: Efficacy of risk management options in hazard-prone regions. [Document title: Microsoft Word - 0178]; 2022.
- Indian Journal of Extension Education,2020

18. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare,
Agricultural Census 2021
19. Bihar Agriculture Management & Extension Training
Institute (BAMETI)
20. Patna District Agriculture Office Reports 2021-2023